This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713617200

Synthesis of a Tri- and Tetrasaccharide Fragment Specific for the *Shigella flexneri* Serotype 5a *O*-Antigen. A Reinvestigation Laurence A. Mulard; Joël Ughetto-Monfrin

To cite this Article Mulard, Laurence A. and Ughetto-Monfrin, Joël(1999) 'Synthesis of a Tri- and Tetrasaccharide Fragment Specific for the *Shigella flexneri* Serotype 5a *O*-Antigen. A Reinvestigation', Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 18: 7, 721 – 753

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328309908544033 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328309908544033

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

SYNTHESIS OF A TRI- AND TETRASACCHARIDE FRAGMENT SPECIFIC FOR THE Shigella flexneri SEROTYPE 5a O-ANTIGEN. A REINVESTIGATION¹

Laurence A. Mulard* and Joël Ughetto-Monfrin

Unité de Chimie Organique, Département de Biochimie et Génétique Moléculaire, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France

Received February 4, 1999 - Final Form May 18, 1999

ABSTRACT

Stereocontrolled, stepwise synthesis of methyl α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -Dglucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (A(E)B, 1) and methyl 2-acetamido-2deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (DA(E)B, 2) is described; these constitute the methyl glycosides of fragments of the O-specific polysaccharide of Shigella flexneri serotype 5a. Two routes to trisaccharide 1 were considered. Route 1 involved the coupling of a precursor to residue A and a disaccharide EB, whereas route 2 was based on the condensation of a precursor to residue E and a disaccharide AB. Rather surprisingly, the latter afforded the β -anomer of 1, namely methyl α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[β -Dglucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside as the major product. Route 1 was preferred. Overall, several observations made during this study suggested that, for the construction of higher fragments, a suitable precursor to rhamnose A would require protecting groups of low bulkiness at position 3 and 4. Therefore, the 2-O-acetyl-3,4-di-Oallyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (35) was the precursor of choice to residue A in the synthesis of the tetrasaccharide 2. The condensation product of 35 and methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside was selectively deacylated and condensed to 2-trichloroacetamido-3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate to afford the corresponding fully protected tetrasaccharide 45. Controlled stepwise deprotection of the latter proceeded smoothly to afford the target 2. It should be emphasised that the preparation of 45 was not straightforward, several donors and coupling conditions that were tested resulted only in the complete recovery of the acceptor. Distortion of several signals in the ¹³C NMR spectra of the fully or partially protected tetrasaccharide intermediates suggested that steric hindrance, added to the known low reactivity of HO-2 of rhamnosyl acceptors, probably played a major role in the outcome of the glycosidation attempts.

INTRODUCTION

Shigella flexneri is a gram-negative bacillus responsible for the endemic form of shigellosis, a dysenteric syndrome characterised by bacterial invasion of the human colonic mucosa,² which causes a high rate of mortality among infants, particularly in developing countries. For these reasons, shigellosis is a priority target as defined by the World Health Organisation (WHO) in its program for the development of vaccines against enteric diseases. Field studies as well as studies on experimental models showed that protection against infection is specific for the serotype of the strain^{3,4} which is defined by the structure of the O-specific polysaccharide (O-SP). Increasing evidences support the hypothesis that serum antibodies against the O-SP may confer protective immunity in humans.⁵ Furthermore, local anti-LPS secretory IgA antibodies are sufficient to confer protection.⁶ Although O-SPs are T-cell independent antigens, they may be converted to efficient immunogens through covalent attachment to carrier proteins⁷ or possibly T-cell epitope peptides.⁸ The former approach has proven successful in adults, in the case of Shigella sonnei.⁹ Still, optimal features for such conjugates are not well understood. Besides, a parallel approach is underway in our laboratory. In the latter, which is based on encouraging preliminary results obtained on the model bacterium Shigella flexneri serotype 5a.¹⁰ vaccine constructs would involve peptide mimics of the antigenic polysaccharides. To help the design of optimal vaccine conjugates, whether of the glyco-type or of the peptide-type, the molecular specificity of the complementarity between the O-SP and protective antibodies raised against this pathogen, is under investigation. Our approach is based on the study, at the molecular level, of the interaction between synthetic saccharidic haptens representative of the O-SP of S. flexneri serotype 5a and homologous protective antibodies. For that reason, several oligosaccharides representative of the O-SP of this model bacterium were required in rather large quantities. Thus, in spite of the large amount of synthetic work on fragments of the O-SP of various bacteria of the Shigella flexneri family reported before by D. R. Bundle's group^{11,12} and N. K. Kochetkov's group,^{13,14} the synthesis of the required oligosaccharides was undertaken.

ABCD
$$\rightarrow$$
2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- β -D-GlcNAcp-(1 \rightarrow I

A B C D \rightarrow 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- β -D-GlcNAcp-(1 \rightarrow (1 \uparrow 3)- α -D-Glcp E II All members of the Shigella flexneri family share the linear heterotetrasaccharide I, as a common basis to the repeating unit of their O-antigen. Among them, S. flexneri serotype 5a is defined by its branched pentasaccharide repeating unit^{15,16} II, containing α -linked L-rhamnose and D-glucose together with β -linked N-acetyl-D-glucosamine as the monosaccharide constituents. As part of this project, we describe herein the synthesis of the branched A(E)B and DA(E)B fragments. They were synthesised as their known methyl glycoside 1^{17,18} and 2,^{19,20} respectively, to allow binding studies in solution.



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The approach used in this study is based on the synthesis of heterofunctional, monosaccharide intermediates, which were then combined in a stepwise manner.

Study on the A(E)B fragment, synthesis of trisaccharide 1.

Due to the anticipated crucial importance of the A(E)B fragment in the O-SP, a careful study of the synthesis of its methyl glycoside^{17,18} 1 was undertaken. A retrosynthetic approach showed that two routes to 1 could be considered, namely, the coupling of a donor A to a disaccharide EB (route 1), or the coupling of a donor E to a disaccharide acceptor AB (route 2). Both routes were undertaken.

The monosaccharide intermediates.

D-Glucose: E unit. 2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- α/β -D-glucopyranosyl fluoride^{21,22} (3), is a known convenient donor for the construction of α -D-glucopyranosidic linkages.²³ Having permanent protecting groups at all positions, it was selected as the key precursor to residue E. Other precursors tested were the bromide 4²⁴ and the trichloroacetimidate 5.^{25,26} All donors were prepared from the commercially available hemiacetal 6, as described.



L-Rhamnose: C unit. According to published data,^{17,18} a suitable precursor to residue C in the synthesis of the trisaccharide 1 is the tri-O-benzoylated bromide 7. The trichloroacetimidate 8,²⁷ and the known tri-O-benzoylated analogue 9^{28} were tested as well. The latter was preferred. It was prepared from the 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-benzoyl- α/β -L-rhamnose²⁹ via anomeric deprotection upon reaction with hydrazine acetate in DMF to give 10^{29} (90%), followed by treatment with trichloroacetonitrile and 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) to give 9 (82% for two steps).

L-Rhamnose: B unit. Methyl 4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside³⁰ (11) has a permanent benzyl group at position 4 that should increase the acceptor reactivity of HO-3. It was selected as a common precursor to the 2-O-benzoate¹⁹ 12, and the 2-O-acetate³¹ 13 (route 1, Scheme 1). In order to prevent acyl migration, which was observed upon column chromatography, the latter was used as a crude material (2-O-acetyl/3-O-acetyl ratio: 97/3 as extracted from the ¹H NMR spectrum) in the glycosylation process. Besides, selective *p*-methoxybenzylation, or allylation, of the hydroxyl group at position 3 of the diol 11 (route 2, Scheme 2) using the dibutyltin oxide methodology^{32,33} gave compounds 24³⁴ (87%) and 25^{19,35} (80%), respectively.

Assembly of the trisaccharide.

Route 1 (scheme 1) mainly followed the one described earlier.¹⁸ The α -D-glucopyranosyl linkage, the stereochemistry of which is the most difficult to control, was introduced first. As the 2-O-benzoyl group is less prone to migrate to the *cis*-oriented HO-3 group than its 2-O-acetyl counterpart, the benzoate 12 was expected to be the nucleophile of choice for the construction of the EB linkage. Thus, condensation of the alcohol 12 was attempted with several precursors to residue E, such as the fluoride 3,^{23,36} the bromide 4,^{18,37} and the trichloroacetimidate 5,³⁸ following known protocols as described in the cited references. In our hands, the fluoride donor 3 when used in combination with titanium tetrafluoride (TiF₄) as the promoter and dry diethyl ether as the solvent was found the most satisfactory. As the condensation products were of close mobility on TLC plates,



a. i. MeC(OMe)₃ or PhC(OMe)₃, APTS, CH₃CN; ii. CF₃CO₂H 50% aq CH₂Cl₂; b. 3, TiF₄, MS 4Å, Et₂O; c. Bu₂SnO, PhCH₃; d. 5, Et₄NI, *i*Pr₂NEt; e. MeONa, MeOH; f. 8 or 9, TMSOTf, Et₂O; g. H₂, Pd/C.

Scheme 1

only analytical samples of 14 and 15 were retrieved. Thus, compounds 14 and 15 were *O*-debenzoylated as an α/β mixture to give 18^{18} (56%) and $19^{17,18,39}$ (17%). The α/β anomeric configuration for residue E in 14, 15, 18 and 19 was indicated by the ${}^{3}J_{\text{H-1,H-2}}$ coupling constant for this residue (see Experimental). With the aim of improving the yield of 18, the crude 2-*O*-acetylated acceptor 13 was condensed to 3 following a similar two-step procedure. Yields of 16^{17} and 17 were 59% and 16% starting from 11, respectively, corresponding to an α/β ratio of 3.7 to 1. Zemplén deacylation of the condensation products (MeONa 1 eq, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH: 1/2) was unusually slow as seen by ¹H NMR kinetic measurements. Typically, deacetylation of 16 was achieved within 1.5 h, whereas debenzoylation of 14 necessitated at least 24 h. Besides, selective deblocking of the β -

anomers 15 (8 h) and 17 (30 min) was always much faster than that of the corresponding α -anomers 14 and 16, respectively. In fact, in both cases deacylation of the α -anomer would require more or less three times the duration needed for the corresponding β -anomer. The limited access to HO-2, as pointed out by the above data, probably results from the steric hindrance caused by the presence of the 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-D-glucopyranose at O-3. Overall, masking of O-2 by the α -linked glucopyranose is much more pronounced than by its β -linked counterpart.

An attempted deviation from this synthetic pathway involved the use of the *cis*-diol **11** as the acceptor. Thus, the cyclic stannylidene obtained by reacting **11** with dibutyltin oxide was tentatively glycosylated with the bromide donor **4** according to Garegg's procedure.⁴⁰ Despite a slightly better stereoselectivity of the condensation (α/β , 90:10), the yield of **18** (36%) could not be improved using this methodology. As an example, running the reaction in the presence of mercuric cyanide, afforded **18** in 25% yield. Overall, the use of the acetylated precursor **13** as the acceptor was found much more convenient in terms of time and number of purification steps.

Next, the disaccharide intermediate 18 was rhamnosylated with the trichloroacetimidate 8, under promotion by trimethylsilyl triflate (TMSOTf), to give the trisaccharide 20 in 59% yield. Deacetylation of 20 under Zemplén conditions resulted in the triol 22 (94%). Using the tri-O-benzoylated precursor 9 as the donor, the condensation proceeded smoothly, and the glycosylation product 21 was isolated in 92% yield. Conventional hydrogenolysis of the latter gave 23 (91%) and subsequent Zemplén debenzoylation afforded the target trisaccharide 1 (92%).

In route 2 (Scheme 2), residue E was introduced last. Condensation of the alcohols 24 and 25 with the bromide donor 7 was achieved under base-deficient conditions using silver triflate (AgOTf) as the promoter and *sym*-collidine as the acid scavenger.⁴¹ Under such conditions, the fully protected disaccharides 26 and 27 were obtained in 66% and 76% yield, respectively. Selective deallylation of 27, achieved by isomerisation of the allyl ether to the 1-propenyl ether using [1,5-cyclooctadiene-bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)-iridium] hexafluorophosphate as the promoter⁴² and subsequent hydrolysis, afforded the disaccharide 28 bearing a free hydroxyl group at position 3 in 85% yield. The latter was trimethylsilylated and condensed to the glucosyl donor 3 in the presence of TiF₄ as described above to give a 2:3 α/β mixture of the glycosylation products 21 and 29 in 81% yield. Isolation of the pure anomers at this stage was not possible except for analytical purpose, nor at any of the partially protected stages. Nevertheless, the mixture of the fully protected trisaccharides 21 and 29 resulting from the condensation of 28 and 4 was submitted to conventional hydrogenolysis and subsequent Zemplén debenzoylation to give the target 1 (26%) and its β E isomer 30 (39%). The all α stereochemistry of the glycosidic



a. i. Bu₂SnO, PhCH₃; ii. *p*MBnCl or AllBr, Bu₄NI, dioxane; b. 7, AgOTf, *sym*-collidine, CH₂Cl₂; c. i. "Ir", THF, ii. HgO, HgBr₂, acetone/H₂O; d. i. Me₃SiCl, pyridine, ii. 4, Tf₂O, MS 4Å, Et₂O; e. H₂, Pd/C, EtOH/AcOH; f. MeONa, MeOH.

Scheme 2

linkages in 21 and 1 was established by measuring the ${}^{1}J_{C-1,H-1}$ heteronuclear coupling constants, whereas a similar analysis conducted for compound 30 clearly established the β stereochemistry of its EB glycosidic linkage (see Experimental). Comparison of the 1 H and 13 C NMR data obtained for the free trisaccharides to those from the literature¹⁸ further ascertained the anomeric configuration of residue E in compounds 1 and 30. Our results show that even though the condensation of the AB precursor 28 to the E precursor 4 was performed under conditions known to favour the formation of the α -D-glucopyranosidic linkage, the β -anomer 29 was obtained as the major compound. A possible explanation for this fact is steric hindrance, which would occur in a $2-\alpha-L/3-\alpha-D$ cis-vicinal branched rhamnopyranosyl system, but would be negligible in the corresponding $2-\alpha-L/3-\beta-D$ cisvicinal branched rhamnopyranosyl system. Interestingly, analysis of the 1 H NMR spectrum of the fully protected trisaccharide 21 showed several distorted resonance signals. The most apparent distortion was that of the doublet corresponding to H-6_B, showing a ${}^{3}J_{\text{H-5,H-6}}$ homonuclear coupling constant of 5.1 Hz, thus reflecting an apparent distorted conformation of rhamnose B. No such distorted resonance signals could be seen in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the corresponding βE anomer 29. Neither were they observed in the ¹H NMR spectra of any of the trisaccharides, once partially (22, 23) or fully deprotected (1, 30). These structural observations correlate well with the previous discussion on the slow kinetics of debenzoylation of the fully protected 21 (see above). Therefore, steric hindrance appears as one of the major factors to be taken into account when constructing fragments bearing the A(E)B sequence. In this respect, it should be added that the fully protected trisaccharide 20, bearing a 2,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl residue, does not show any apparent conformational constraint as deduced from the analysis of its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. These observations may help the choice of the various precursors necessary to build higher fragments of the *O*-SP of *Shigella flexneri* serotype 5a. In particular, the use of precursors to rhamnose A bearing low bulkiness protecting groups at position 3 and 4 is recommended.

Study on the DA(E)B fragment, synthesis of tetrasaccharide 2.

In the present study, the linear strategy designed for the preparation of the target tetrasaccharide^{19,20} 2 took advantage of the observations made during the preparation of 1. Route 1 was undertaken, and the alcohol 18 was selected as the crucial synthetic intermediate for disaccharide EB.

The monosaccharide intermediates.



L-Rhamnose: C unit. The known chloride⁴³ 34 and the trichloroacetimidate 35 were selected as the rhamnose donors for residue C in the tetrasaccharide 2. The precursor to 35 was the 1,2-ortho ester⁴⁴ 31. It was submitted to deacetylation followed by conventional alkylation with allyl bromide to afford 32 (94%). Acid hydrolysis of the latter afforded 33 and subsequent treatment of the resulting hemiacetal with trichloroacetonitrile and DBU gave 35 (81%). This intermediate can function both as a glycosyl donor and as a glycosyl acceptor after removal of the temporary-protecting group from O-2. In this

respect, the acetyl group is ideally suited since it is the only ester functionality present on the expected condensation product when coupling 18 and 35.



2-Acetamido-2-deoxy-D-glucose: D unit. Recently, several glucosamine donors possessing modified amino functionalities have been proposed in order to overcome the problems associated to the widely spread phthalimido procedure⁴⁵ when introducing a 2-acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosidic linkage. Amongst those investigated, the tetrachlorophthalimides⁴⁶ 36 and 37,⁴⁷ the carbamate 38,⁴⁸ and the trichloroacetamide⁴⁹ 39 were selected as potential precursors to residue D.

Assembly of the tetrasaccharide.

When the disaccharide 18 and the chloride donor 34 were condensed in anhydrous dichloromethane under base-deficient conditions, using AgOTf as the promoter and symcollidine as the acid scavenger, no glycosylation product was isolated and the EB precursor was totally recovered. The poor reactivity of HO-2 of a 3-O-glycosylated rhamnopyranosyl intermediate was noticed earlier, and the major influence of steric hindrance on the outcome of the glycosylation reaction was outlined.⁵⁰ Besides, previous failure of attempted glycosylation at O-2 of 18 have been reported,¹⁹ they were attributed to the steric bulk of the glycosyl donor and the relatively low reactivity of the O-2 position



of the acceptor. Based on this suggestion and on our observations made during the synthesis of 1, a new rhamnopyranosyl donor was designed, namely the trichloroacetimidate 35. Condensation of 18 and 35 was achieved in diethyl ether in the presence of a catalytic amount of TMSOTf to afford the fully protected trisaccharide 43 in 96% yield (scheme 3). Next, transesterification of 43 provided the intermediate 44 (98%) ready for further chain elongation. As anticipated by the choice of the protecting groups at position 3 and 4 of rhamnose A, no distortion at all could be seen in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of trisaccharides 43 and 44.

Whether performed with donor 36 under AgOTf-promoted Königs-Knorr conditions in which sym-collidine was the proton acceptor, or with donors 37, 38, and 39 under catalysis with TMSOTf, attempted glycosylation of 44 in dichloromethane failed, leading to complete recovery of the starting acceptor. The use of 37 in acetonitrile was still unsuccessful, even though the combination of a trichloroacetimidate donor and trichloroacetonitrile was reported, on several occasions, to be highly suitable for the preparation of β -glycosidic linkages.^{47,51} As a test, the rhamnoside³⁴ 40 was condensed to donors 38 and 39 using the exact conditions that failed in the case of 43. Glycosidation went smoothly in both cases, leading to disaccharides 41 (91%) and 42 (97%), respectively. To overcome the repeatedly observed total absence of reaction of 44, the latter was reacted with the N-trichloroacetamide donor 39 in acetonitrile in the presence of a catalytic amount of TMSOTf. Under such conditions, the fully protected tetrasaccharide 45 was isolated in 89% yield after repeated column chromatography to avoid contamination by a side-product of close mobility. The β interglycosidic linkage for residue **D** is indicated by the ${}^{1}J_{C-1,H-1}$ heteronuclear coupling constant for the glucosamine unit of 162 Hz. Values of 169-170 Hz were obtained for the other residues, which ascertained their α -anometric orientation. Next, tetrasaccharide 45 was submitted to stepwise deprotection. Although tributylstannane mediated reduction of the N-trichloroacetyl group into the corresponding N-acetyl group has been reported for a compound bearing an allyl protecting group,⁴⁹ several side-products were formed when 45 was treated with tributylstannane in the presence of a catalytic amount of 2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN), as described. Thus, deallylation of 45 was performed first. Conventional removal of the allyl ethers from 45 following a two-step process⁴² using the cationic iridium complex, as described for the preparation of 28, gave the diol 46 in 71% yield. Acetylation of the latter led to 47 (98%) and subsequent dehydrohalogenation using tributyltin hydride in combination with a catalytic amount of AIBN gave the N-acetylated tetrasaccharide 48 in 88% yield. It should be noted that the addition of N,N-dimethyl acetamide (DMA) to the reaction mixture is of great importance for the reaction to go to completion. Next, compound 48 was fully deprotected by (i) hydrogenolysis [H₂, Pd-C]



a. 32, TMSOTf, Et_2O ; b. MeONa, MeOH; c. 39, TMSOTf, CH_3CN ; d. i. "Ir", THF, ii. HgO, HgBr₂, acetone/H₂O; e. Ac₂O, pyridine; f. Bu₃SnH, DMA/PhCH₃; g. H₂, Pd/C.

Scheme 3

to give 49 (92%) and (ii) transesterification (MeONa-MeOH) into the free tetrasaccharide 2 (89%). In CDCl₃, NMR data showed that two average conformers of 49 were present in solution, but only one was seen in DMSO-d₆. Measurement of the magnitude of the one-bond ${}^{1}J_{C,H}$ coupling constants for the anomeric carbon atoms of the target 2 gave values of 172-173 Hz for the rhamnosyl and glucosyl residues, whereas the glucosaminyl unit had a ${}^{1}J_{C,H}$ coupling constant of 164 Hz. The former values are consistent with the presence of α -L-rhamnosyl and α -D-glucosyl residues while the latter is in total agreement with the

expected β configuration of the glucosaminyl residue, thus confirming the stereochemical integrity of the glycosidic linkages of 2.

Interestingly, analysis of the ¹³C NMR spectrum of the protected, whether fully or partially, tetrasaccharides 45-48 showed the presence of several repeated distorted resonance signals. The most apparent distortions were those of the signals attributed to C- 1_A , C- 1_E , C- 4_B , and to a lesser extent those of the signals associated with C- 2_B , and C- 3_B . These observations show that the addition of a protected *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine residue disrupts the overall conformation of the starting A(E)B portion, most probably generating a steric constraint at the branching point. They may explain the negative outcome of several of the attempted condensations of fragment A(E)B and residue D. This apparent steric constraint only disappeared upon debenzylation of the intermediate 48. As expected, no distortion subsisted in the NMR spectra of the free tetrasaccharide 2.

The reaction products were characterised by fully assigned ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. Assignment of the ¹H NMR spectra was made possible by analysis of the experimental subspectra generated when running selective TOCSY experiments⁵² to identify sets of signals attributable to individual rings, followed by unambiguous identification of one of the signals for each residue in one particular compound. Following the assignment of the ¹H NMR spectra, the assignment of the ¹³C(¹H) NMR signals followed directly from the analysis of the ¹³C-¹H chemical shift correlated spectrum. The anomeric configurations of the newly formed glycosidic linkages were established by measurement of anomeric ¹J_{C,H} coupling constants.^{53,54}

EXPERIMENTAL

General Methods. Melting points were determined in capillary tubes with an electrothermal apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured for CHCl₃ solutions at 25 °C, except where indicated otherwise, with a Perkin-Elmer automatic polarimeter, Model 241 MC. TLC on precoated slides of Silica Gel 60 F_{254} (Merck) was performed with solvent mixtures of appropriately adjusted polarity consisting of *A*, dichloromethane-methanol; *B*, cyclohexane-ethyl acetate; *C*, cyclohexane-acetone; *D*, toluene-acetone; *E*, toluene-EtOAc; *F*, dichloromethane-diethyl ether; *G*, water-acetonitrile. Detection was effected when applicable, with UV light, and/or by charring with orcinol (35 mM) in aqueous H₂SO₄ (4N). Preparative chromatography was performed by elution from columns of Silica Gel 60 (particle size 0.040-0.063 mm). The NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C for solutions in CDCl₃, unless stated otherwise, on a Bruker AC 300P spectrometer (300 MHz for ¹H, 75 MHz for ¹³C). External references: for solutions in CDCl₃, TMS (0.00 ppm for both ¹H 1³C); for solutions in D₂O, dioxane (67.4 ppm for

¹³C) and trimethylsilyl-3 propionic acid sodium salt (0.00 ppm for ¹H). Proton-signal assignments were made by first-order analysis of the spectra, as well as analysis of twodimensional ¹H-¹H correlation maps (COSY) and selective TOCSY experiments. Of the two magnetically non-equivalent geminal protons at C-6, the one resonating at lower field is denoted H-6a and the one at higher field is denoted H-6b. The ¹³C NMR assignments were supported by two-dimensional ¹³C-¹H correlation maps (HETCOR). Interchangeable assignments are marked with an asterisk in the listing of signal assignments. Sugar residues in oligosaccharides are serially lettered according to the lettering of the repeating unit of the *O*-SP and identified by a subscript in the listing of signal assignments. Low-resolution chemical ionisation mass spectra (CIMS) were obtained using NH₃ as the ionising gas. Before use, AgOTf was dried at 133 Pa/50 °C for 2 h, CH₂Cl₂ was distilled over P₂O₅, Et₂O and THF were distilled over sodium/benzophenone. CH₃CN suitable for DNA synthesis and kept on Trap-Pack molecular sieves bags was used as such. Solutions in organic solvents were dried by passing through phase separator filters.

2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl Trichloroacetimidate (9). The crude hemiacetal 10 obtained by selective anomeric debenzoylation of 1,2,3,4-tetra-Obenzoyl-L-rhamnopyranose²⁹ (4.14 g, 7.14 mmol) was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). Trichloroacetonitrile (7.2 mL, 71.4 mmol) and DBU (105 μ L, 0.7 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for 30 min. The volatiles were evaporated, and the crude material was coevaporated twice with toluene. The residue was chromatographed on a short column of silica gel (solvent *B*, 95:5, containing 0.1% of Et₃N) to give pure α anomer 9 (3.63 g, 82%) as a colourless foam, $[\alpha]_D +118^{\circ}$ (*c* 1.0); lit.²⁸ $[\alpha]_D +97.5^{\circ}$ (*c* 1.0); ¹H and ¹³C NMR data differ slightly from those described.²⁸ NMR: ¹H, δ 8.84 (s, 1H, NH), 8.14-7.25 (m, 15H, Ph), 6.51 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.5 Hz, H-1), 5.90 (m, 2H, H-2, 3), 5.80 (ddd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.9 Hz, H-4), 5.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.43 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.6 Hz, H-5), 1.44 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6); ¹³C, δ 165.7, 165.5, 165.3 (3C, C=O), 160.1 (C=N), 133.7-128.4 (Ph), 94.8 (C-1), 90.6 (CCl₃), 71.1 (C-4), 69.7 (2C, C-3*, 5), 69.2 (C-2*), 17.8 (C-6).

Methyl [2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-2-Obenzoyl-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (14) and Methyl [2,3,4,6-Tetra-O- benzyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-2-O- benzoyl-4-O- benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (15). A mixture of crude α -L-rhamnopyranoside 12^{55} (372 mg, 1.0 mmol) and molecular sieves 4Å in Et₂O (20 mL) was stirred for 30 min under Ar. The glycosyl fluoride²¹ 3 (940 mg, 2 mmol) was added and stirring was continued for 30 min at 0 °C, at which time, TiF₄ (1.0 g, 8.1 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at rt when TLC (solvent D, 8.4:1.6) showed that no starting material remained. The mixture was diluted with Et₂O and filtered through a pad of Celite. Et₃N was slowly added to neutralise the filtrate, and volatiles were evaporated. The residue, taken up in CH₂Cl₂ was washed with cold 5% aq NaHCO₃, water and satd aq NaCl, then dried and concentrated. Chromatography of the residue (solvent F, 9.8:0.2) gave a mixture of compounds (727 mg, 75 %) from which analytical samples of amorphous 14 and amorphous 15 were retrieved.

Compound 14 had $[\alpha]_D + 33^{\circ}$ (*c* 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 8.07-7.05 (m, 30H, Ph), 5.60 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 2.1 Hz, H-2_B), 5.23 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.5 Hz, H-1_E), 4.96 (d, 1H, J = 10.2 Hz, CH₂PhA), 4.82 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂PhB), 4.81 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂PhC), 4.77 (d, 1H, H-1_B), 4.71 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂PhB'), 4.65 (d, 1H, J = 10.2 Hz, CH₂PhA'), 4.58 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂PhD), 4.52 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH₂PhE), 4.43 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂PhC'), 4.40 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH₂PhE'), 4.35 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH₂PhD'), 4.31 (d, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.2, J_{3,4} = 9.3 Hz, H-3_B), 4.00 (m, 2H, H-3_E, 5_E), 3.79 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.5 Hz, H-5_B), 3.46 (dd, 2H, J = 9.8 Hz, H-4_B, 4_E), 3.63 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6a} = 3.2 Hz, H-6a_E), 3.59 (dd, 1H, H-2_E), 3.55 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6b} = 1.7, J_{6a,6b} = 12.9 Hz, H-6b_E), 3.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.42 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.1 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C, δ 166.1 (C=O), 133.2-127.3 (Ph), 98.5 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 169.7 Hz), 92.6 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 168.7 Hz), 82.0 (C-3_E), 79.8 (C-4_B*), 79.0 (C-2_E), 77.6 (C-4_E*), 76.1, 75.4, 74.9, 73.3, (4C, CH₂Ph), 72.5 (C-3_B), 72.2 (CH₂Ph), 70.2 (C-5_E), 68.4 (C-2_B), 68.2 (C-6_E), 67.7 (C-5_B), 54.9 (CH₃), 18.1 (C-6_B); ES: *m/z* 895.6 ([M+H]⁺), 917.5 ([M+Na]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C55H58O11: C, 73.81; H, 6.53. Found: C, 73.63; H, 6.59.

Compound 15 had $[\alpha]_D$ +58° (*c* 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 8.18-6.92 (m, 30H, Ph), 5.50 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.7 Hz, H-2_B), 4.94 (d, 1H, J = 10.6 Hz, CH₂PhA), 4.92 (2 d, 2H, J = 10.7 Hz, CH₂PhB, CH₂PhC), 4.84 (s, 1H, H-1_B), 4.82 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 7.9 Hz, H-1_E), 4.80 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, CH₂PhD), 4.79 (d, 1H, J = 10.7 Hz, CH₂PhC'), 4.69 (d, 1H, J = 11.5 Hz, CH₂PhB'), 4.61 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz, CH₂PhE), 4.56 (d, 2H, J = 10.7 Hz, CH₂PhA', CH₂PhD'), 4.54 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz, CH₂PhE'), 4.45 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.4, J_{3,4} = 9.4 Hz, H-3_B), 3.85 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.5 Hz, H-5_B), 3.76 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6a} = 2.1, J_{6a,6b} = 11.4 Hz, H-6a_E), 3.70 (bd, 1H, H-6b_E), 3.68 (t, partially overlapped, 1H, H-4_B), 3.63-3.57 (m, 2H, H-3_E, 5_E), 3.45-3.38 (m, 2H, H-2_E, 4_E), 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.41 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.1 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C, δ 165.8 (C=O), 138.6-127.3 (Ph), 103.3 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 161.7 Hz), 98.3 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 170.2 Hz), 84.5 (C-3_E), 82.3 (C-2_E), 81.5 (C-4_B), 77.9 (C-5_E), 75.5 (CH₂Ph), 75.3 (C-4_E), 75.2 (C-3_B), 75.0, 74.9, 74.6, 73.5, (4C, CH₂Ph), 73.5 (C-2_B), 68.7 (C-6_E), 67.5 (C-5_B), 54.9 (CH₃), 18.0 (C-6_B); ES: *m/z* 895.6 ([M+H]⁺), 917.5 ([M+Na]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₅₅H₅₈O₁₁: C, 73.81; H, 6.53. Found: C, 73.68; H, 6.59.

Methyl [2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-2-Oacetyl-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (16) and Methyl [2,3,4,6-Tetra-Obenzyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-2-O-acetyl-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (17). A mixture of crude α -L-rhamnopyranoside 13 prepared as described³¹ from methyl 4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside³⁰ (11, 2.95 g, 11.0 mmol), and molecular sieves 4Å in Et₂O (20 mL) was stirred for 30 min under Ar. The glycosyl fluoride²¹ 3 (12.0 g, 22.1 mmol) and TiF4 (8.2 g, 66.1 mmol) were added sequentially as described for the preparation of 14 and 15 and the reaction mixture was processed as above. Chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 8.75:1.25) gave a mixture of compounds 16 and 17 (12.5 g). Analytical samples of both 16 and 17 were tentatively retrieved. The faster moving product, isolated as a colourless oil, was the β anomer 17, $[\alpha]_{p}$ +10° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.40–6.92 (m, 25H, Ph), 5.23 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.7, J_{2,3} = 3.5 Hz, H- 2_B), 5.00-4.46 (m, 10H, CH₂Ph), 4.73 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 7.7 Hz, H-1_E), 4.67 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.29 (d, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_B), 3.77 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.5$ Hz, H-5_B), 3.72 (bd, 8.6 Hz, H-4_E), 3.59 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ = 8.8 Hz, H-3_E), 3.55 (dd, 1H, H-4_B), 3.46 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 8.7$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.39 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 3.30 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.16 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.49 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ = 6.2 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C, δ 170.3 (C=O), 138.6-127.5 (Ph), 103.2 (C-1_E), 98.2 (C-1_B), 84.6 (C-3_E), 82.5 (C-2_E), 81.0 (C-4_B), 77.8 (C-4_E), 75.5 (CH₂Ph), 75.4 (C-3_B), 75.2 (C-5_E), 75.0, 74.9, 74.8, 73.5 (4C, CH₂Ph), 72.8 (C-2_B), 68.6 (C-6_E), 67.5 (C-5_B), 54.7 (OCH₃), 17.9 (C-6_B); ES: m/z 833.4 ([M+H]+), 855.5 ([M+Na]+).

Anal. Calcd for C₅₀H₅₆O₁₁: C, 72.01; H, 6.78. Found: C, 72.16; H, 6.80.

The slower moving product, isolated as a colourless oil, was the α anomer 16, $[\alpha]_D$ +50° (*c* 1.0); lit.¹⁷ $[\alpha]_D$ +54.4° (*c* 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.38-7.04 (m, 25H, Ph), 5.36 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.9 Hz, H-2_B), 5.16 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.4 Hz, H-1_E), 5.04-4.33 (m, 10H, CH₂Ph), 4.63 (bs, overlapped, 1H, H-1_B), 4.21 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.3, J_{3,4} = 9.6 Hz, H-3_B), 4.10 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.3 Hz, H-3_E), 4.02 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 3.74 (dq, partially overlapped, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.2 Hz, H-5_B), 3.73 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.9 Hz, H-4_E), 3.64-3.58 (m, 3H, H-2_E, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.55 (dd, 1H, H-4_B), 3.34 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.96 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.40 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_B). The ¹³C NMR data were identical to those reported previously.¹⁷ ES: *m/z* 833.4 ([M+H]⁺), 855.5 ([M+Na]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₅₀H₅₆O₁₁: C, 72.10; H, 6.78. Found: C, 72.12; H, 6.79.

Methyl [2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-4-Obenzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (18) and Methyl [2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (19). (a) A solution of 14 and 15 (670 mg, 75 μ mol) in a mixture of dry CH₂Cl₂ and methanol (15 mL, 1:1) was treated with 1 M MeONa in MeOH until strongly alkaline to litmus and stirred for 16 h at rt. After neutralisation with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺) and evaporation of the volatiles, the crude product was chromatographed from a column of silica gel (solvent *F*, 9.8:0.2) to give **19** (136 mg, 23 %) and as the first eluting product **18** (468 mg, 74 %), both as amorphous compounds. Compound **19** had $[\alpha]_D$ -17° (*c* 1.0); lit.¹⁸ $[\alpha]_D$ -7° (*c* 2.0), lit.¹⁷ $[\alpha]_D$ -11.1° (*c* 1.1), lit.³⁹ $[\alpha]_D$ -15° (*c* 2.4); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.62-7.07 (m, 25H, Ph), 5.31-4.51 (m, 10H, CH₂Ph), 4.53 (d, overlapped, 1H, J_{1,2} = 7.2 Hz, H-1_E), 4.75 (s, 1H, H-1_B), 4.07 (m, 2H, H-2_B, 3_E), 3.75-3.11 (m, 8H, H-3_B, 4_B, 5_B, 2_E, 4_E, 5_E, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.34 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.0 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C, δ 138.5-127.5 (Ph), 102.7 (C-1_E), 100.4 (C-1_B), 84.8 (C-3_E), 82.1 (C-2_E), 80.9 (C-3_B), 80.0 (C-4_B), 77.8 (C-4_E), 75.7, 75.1, 75.0, 74.8 (CH₂Ph), 74.6 (C-5_E), 73.6 (CH₂Ph), 70.0 (C-2_B), 68.9 (C-6_E), 67.3 (C-5_B), 54.8 (CH₃), 18.0 (C-6_B).

(b) A solution of 16 and 17 (12.5 g) in a mixture of dry CH_2Cl_2 and methanol (80 mL, 4:1) was treated with 1 M MeONa in MeOH until strongly alkaline to litmus. After 16 h at rt and conventional processing as described above, the crude product was chromatographed from a column of silica gel (solvent F, 9.8:0.2) to give 19 (1.43 g, 16 %) and as the first eluting product 18 (5.14 g, 59 % from 11).

(c) A solution of diol³⁰ 11 (268 mg, 1.0 mmol) and dibutyltin oxide (360 mg, 1.5 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was refluxed in a soxhlet apparatus for 3 h, then cooled to rt and concentrated to dryness. A mixture of the residue, glycosyl bromide 4, prepared²⁴ from the corresponding nitrobenzoate (980 mg, 1.45 mmol), and molecular sieves 4Å in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min. Tetraethylammonium iodide (1.10 g, 2.8 mmol) was added, and stirring was continued in the dark for 4 d, at which time TLC showed that no diol remained. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was washed several times with water, satd aq NaCl, dried and concentrated. Chromatography of the residue (solvent F, 9.6:0.4) gave 18 (280 mg, 36%) as a colourless oil, $[\alpha]_{\rm p}$ +35° (c 1.0); lit.¹⁸ $[\alpha]_{D}$ +33° (c 2.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.61-7.07 (m, 25H, Ph), 4.80-4.42 (m, 10H, CH₂Ph), 4.89 (d, overlapped, 1H, H-1_E), 4.75 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.0$ Hz, H-1_B), 4.05 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} =$ 9.3 Hz, H-3_E), 3.98 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.4$, $J_{3,4} = 9.0$ Hz, H-3_B), 3.94 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 3.91 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 3.73 (m, 1H, H-5_B), 3.72 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.3$ Hz, H-4_E), 3.59 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.6$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.47 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.3$ Hz, H-4_B), 3.44 (dd, 1H, $J_{5.6a} = 2.6$, $J_{6a,6b} = 10.9$ Hz, H-6a_E), 3.39 (dd, 1H, H-6b_E), 3.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.36 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C, δ 138.6-127.6 (Ph), 100.0 (C-1_B), 94.0 (C-1_E), 82.5 (C-3_E), 79.3 (C-4_B), 78.9 (C-2_E), 77.7 (C-4_E), 76.6 (C-3_B), 75.6, 75.5, 74.9, 74.3, 73.4 (CH₂Ph), 70.6 (C-2_B), 67.9 (C-6_E), 67.3 (C-5_E), 67.1 (C-5_B), 54.7 (CH₃), 17.9 (C-6_B).

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-*O*-acetyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[2,3,4,6tetra-*O*-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]-4-*O*-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (20). A solution of disaccharide 18 (530 mg, 671 µmol) and trichloroacetimidate²⁷

8 (380 mg, 883 μ mol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. TMSOTf (13 µL, 67 µmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 h while slowly warming up to rt. Et₃N (1 drop) was added and the solvent was evaporated. Chromatography of the crude mixture (solvent C, 90:10) afforded 20 (420 mg, 59 %) as a colourless foam, $[\alpha]_{D}$ -6° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.37-7.07 (m, 25H, Ph), 5.60 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.57 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.6$ Hz, H-2_A), 5.39 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$, $J_{3,4} = 10.1$ Hz, H- 3_A), 5.09 (dd, 1H, J_{4.5} = 9.9 Hz, H-4_A), 4.92 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.90 (d, 1H, H-1_E), 4.86 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.84 (d, 1H, J = 10.7 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.79 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.77 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.66 (d, 1H, J = 10.2 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.65 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.6$ Hz, H-1_B), 4.64 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.38 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.22 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.09 (dd, 1H, H-2_B), 4.04 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 2.7$, $J_{3,4} = 9.6$ Hz, H-3_B), 4.01-3.95 (m, 3H, H-5A, 3E, 5E), 3.71 (dq, partially overlapped, 1H, H-5B), 3.66 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.6$ Hz, H-4_E), 3.62 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_B), 3.53 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.3$, $J_{2,3} = 9.8$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.36 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6} = 2.6$ Hz, H-6a_E), 3.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.28 (dd, 1H, $J_{6a,6b} = 10.8$ Hz, H-6b_E), 2.08, 1.99. 1.88 (3 s, 9H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.41 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 5.9 Hz, H-6_B), 1.28 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 170.0, 169.9, 169.6 (C=O), 138.8-127.2 (Ph), 99.7 (C-1_B), 98.2 (C-1_A), 95.8 (C-1_E), 82.0 (C-3_B), 79.6 (C-4_B), 78.9 (C-2_E), 77.8 (C-4_E), 76.7 (C-3_E), 75.7, 75.3, 74.8 (CH₂Ph), 73.6 (C-2_B), 73.5, 73.2 (CH₂Ph), 71.3 (C-4_A), 70.3 (C-5_E), 69.3 (2C, C-2_A, 3_A), 68.7 (C-5_B), 67.9 (C-6E), 66.7 (C-5A), 54.5 (CH3), 20.8, 20.7, 20.6 (3C, C(=O)CH3), 17.9 (C-6B), 17.6 (C-6A); CIMS; m/z 1080 ([M+NH4]+).

Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₇₀O₁₇: C, 67.78; H, 6.64. Found: C, 67.82; H, 6.70.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-*O*-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[2,3,4,6tetra-*O*- benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]-4-*O*-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (21). A solution of disaccharide 18 (567 mg, 717 µmol) trichloroacetimidate²⁸ 9 (667 mg, 1.07 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (10 mL) was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. TMSOTf (15 µL, 77 µmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 15 h while slowly warming up to rt. Et₃N (1 drop) was added and the solvent was evaporated. Chromatography of the crude mixture (solvent *F*, 99.5:0.5) afforded 21 (824 mg, 92 %) as a colourless foam, [α]_D +65° (*c* 1.0); lit.¹⁸ [α]_D +130.5° (*c* 2.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.45-6.91 (m, 40H, Ph), 6.06 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.7, J_{2,3} = 3.2 Hz, H-2_A), 5.93 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.92 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 10.2 Hz, H-3_A), 5.66 (dd, 1H, H-4_A), 4.80-4.42 (m, 8H, CH₂Ph), 4.82 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.3 Hz, H-1_E), 4.77 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.7 Hz, H-1_B), 4.31 (m, 2H, J = 11.2, J_{4,5} = 9.7 Hz, CH₂Ph, H-5_A), 4.18 (d, 1H, J = 11.7 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.16 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.04 (m, 1H, H-3_B), 3.96 (m, 2H, H-3_E, 5_E), 3.76 (m, 2H, H-4_B, 5_B), 3.60 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.7 Hz, H-4_E), 3.46 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.8 Hz, H-2_E), 3.39 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.30 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6a} = 2.2$ Hz, H-6a_E), 3.23 (dd, 1H, $J_{6a,6b} = 10.6$ Hz, H-6b_E), 1.49 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 5.1$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.42 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 165.8, 165.5, 165.0 (C=O), 138.8-127.3 (Ph), 99.9 (C-1_B, $J_{C,H} = 172$ Hz), 98.4 (C-1_A, $J_{C,H} = 170$ Hz), 96.3 (C-1_E, $J_{C,H} = 167$ Hz), 82.1 (C-3_E), 79.5 (C-4_B), 78.5 (C-2_E), 77.8 (C-4_E), 77.0 (C-3_B), 75.8, 75.4, 74.8 (CH₂Ph), 73.9 (C-2_B), 73.5, 73.3 (CH₂Ph), 72.1 (C-4_A), 70.3 (C-5_E), 70.2 (2C, C-2_A, 3_A), 68.9 (C-5_B), 67.9 (C-6_E), 67.0 (C-5_A), 54.6 (OCH₃), 18.0 (C-6_B), 17.9 (C-6_A); CIMS: *m/z* 1266 ([M+NH₄]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₇₅H₇₆O₁₇: C, 72.10; H, 6.13. Found: C, 71.97; H, 6.15.

Methyl α -L-Rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -Dglucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (22). (a) A solution of trisaccharide 20 (200 mg, 160 μ mol) in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ was treated with 1 M MeONa until strongly alkaline to litmus. After 16 h at rt, the mixture was processed as described for 18. Chromatography of the crude residue (solvent A, 9.5:0.5) afforded 22 (137 mg, 94%) as a colourless amorphous solid, $[\alpha]_D$ +30° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.43-7.08 (m, 25H, Ph), 5.19 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.04 (d, 1H, H-1_E), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.92 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.87-4.75 (m, 4H, CH_2Ph), 4.66 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.4$ Hz, H-1_B), 4.58 (d, 1H, J = 10.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.56 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.45 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.29 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.10-4.03 (m, 5H, H-2_A, 2_B, 3_B, 3_E, 5_E), 3.88 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.4$, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_A), 3.75-3.65 (m, 3H, H-5_A, 5_B, 4_E), 3.68 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.4$, $J_{2,3} = 9.8$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.50(dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.1$, $J_{4,5}$ 9.6 Hz, H-4_B), 3.48 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-6a_E), 3.38 (dd, 1H, J_{4.5} = 9.5 Hz, H-4_A), 3.37 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-6b_E), 3.31 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.42 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.31 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 138.6-127.5 (Ph), 101.3 (C-1_A), 99.9 (C-1_B), 94.6 (C-1_E), 82.2 (C-3_B), 79.9 (C-4_B), 79.3 (C-2_E), 78.1 (C-4_E), 75.8 (CH₂Ph), 75.6 (C-3_E), 75.5, 75.0 (2C, CH₂Ph), 74.1 (C-5E*), 73.9 (CH2Ph), 73.4 (C-4A), 73.3 (CH2Ph), 71.5 (C-3A), 70.7 (C-2A), 70.2 (C-2A 2B*), 68.4 (C-5A), 68.2 (C-5B), 68.1 (C-6E), 54.7 (CH3), 18.1 (C-6B), 17.7 (C-6A); CIMS: m/z 954 ([M+NH4]+).

Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₆₄O₁₄: C, 69.21; H, 6.88. Found: C, 69.14; H, 6.97.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $[\alpha$ -Dglucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (23). (a) A solution of the fully protected 21 (320 mg, 0.25 mmol) in a mixture of EtOH and acetic acid (5 mL, 4:1) was stirred for 48 h at rt in a hydrogen atmosphere, at atmospheric pressure in the presence of 10% palladium-on-charcoal (250 mg). The mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was coevaporated several times with cyclohexane. The crude product was eluted from a column of silica gel (solvent A, 9.5:0.5) to give 23 as a colourless, hygroscopic solid (186 mg, 91%), $[\alpha]_D$ +122* (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 8.09-7.17 (m, 15H, Ph), 5.83 (bs, 1H, H-2_A), 5.65 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.0$, $J_{3,4} = 10.1$ Hz, H-3_A), 5.65 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.7$ Hz, H-4_A), 5.29 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.98 (m, 3H, 2 OH, H-1_E), 4.76 (s, 1H, H-1_B), 4.31 (dq, 1H, H-5_A), 4.09 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.00 (m, 1H, H-4_E), 3.94-3.63 (m, 8H, 2 OH, H-3_B, 4_B, 5_B, 3_E, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.47 (m, 3H, OH, H-2_E, 5_E), 3.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.39 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.8 Hz, H-6_B), 1.33 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 166.3-165.8 (3C, C=O), 133.6-128.3 (Ph), 99.9 (C-1_B), 99.6 (C-1_A), 96.4 (C-1_E), 77.0 (2C, C-2_B, 3_B), 73.5 (C-4_B), 72.4 (C-4_E), 71.8 (C-2_E), 71.5 (C-4_A), 71.0 (C-3_E), 70.7 (C-2_A), 70.4 (C-5_E), 70.3 (C-3_A), 68.4 (C-5_B), 67.1 (C-5_A), 61.9 (C-6_E), 54.8 (CH₃), 17.8 (C-6_B), 17.6 (C-6_A); ES: *m/z* 799.4 ([M+H]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₄₀H₄₆O₁₇: C, 60.14; H, 5.80. Found: C, 59.99; H, 5.98.

Methyl α -L-Rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $[\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)]$ - α -L-rhamnopyranoside (1). To a solution of 23 (237 mg, 0.25 mmol) in a 4:1 mixture of EtOH and acetic acid, was added 10% palladium-on-charcoal (100 mg) and the mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 24 h. The solution was filtered and coevaporated several times with cyclohexane and toluene. Reverse phase chromatography (solvent *G*, gradient) of the crude product, followed by lyophilization, gave compound 1 (112 mg, 91%) as a colourless powder; $[\alpha]_D + 44^{\circ}$ (*c* 1.0, water), lit.¹⁸ $[\alpha]_D + 57^{\circ}$ (*c* 1.6, water), lit.¹⁷ $[\alpha]_D + 21.8^{\circ}$ (*c* 1.6, water); CIMS: *m/z* 504 ([M+NH₄]⁺). The ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra of 1 are identical to those described in ref. 14.

Anal. Calcd for C19H34O14·H2O: C, 45.23; H, 7.19. Found: C, 45.31; H, 7.18.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-4-Obenzyl-3-O-para-methoxybenzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (26). A solution of compound³⁴ 24 (388 mg, 1.0 mmol), donor²⁹ 7 (808 mg, 1.5 mmol) and sym-collidine (165 µL, 1.25 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of AgOTf (462 mg, 1.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). Stirring was continued for 5 h, at which time the temperature of the bath had reached 20 °C. TLC (solvent D, 9:1) showed the complete disappearance of 25. The mixture was filtered through a bed of Celite. The filtrate was washed with a 1:1 mixture of 5% aq NaHCO3 and 5% aq Na₂S₂O₃, then water and satd aq NaCl. The organic phase was dried and concentrated. The crude product was chromatographed (solvent D, 97:3) to give pure disaccharide 26 (564 mg, 66 %) as a colourless foam, $[\alpha]_{D}$ +96° (c 1.0); RMN: ¹H, δ 8.11-6.69 (m, 24H, Ph), 5.90 (dd, 2H, $H-2_A$, 3_A), 5.68 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.6$ Hz, $H-4_A$), 5.23 (d, 1H, $H-1_A$), 4.97 (d, 1H, J =11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.75 (d, 1H, H-1_B), 4.73 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.67 (d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, CH_2PhCH_3), 4.60 (d, 1H, CH_2PhCH_3), 4.30 (dq, 1H, $J_{4.5} = 9.7$ Hz, H-5_A), 4.05 (dd, 1H, H-2_B), 3.89 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 2.9$, $J_{3,4} = 8.9$ Hz, H-3_B), 3.72 (dq, 1H, H-5_B), 3.67 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.0$, $J_{4,3}$ 9.0 Hz, H-4_B), 3.62 (s, 3H, CH_3OPh), 3.37 (s, 3H, CH_3), 1.41 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 5.9$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.36 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 165.9, 165.5,

165.2 (C=O), 159.0 (Ph), 138.7-125.3 (Ph), 113.8 (Ph), 99.9 (C-1_B), 99.5 (C-1_A), 80.0 (C-4_B), 79.6 (C-3_B), 75.9 (C-2_B), 75.5 (CH₂Ph), 72.2 (CH₂PhCH₃), 71.9 (C-4_A), 70.6 (C-3_A), 70.0 (C-2_A), 68.0 (C-5_B), 67.1 (C-5_A), 55.0 (CH₃OPh), 54.7 (CH₃), 18.0 (C-6_B), 17.8 (C-6_A); CIMS: m/z 864 ([M+NH₄]+).

Anal. Calcd for C49H50O13: C, 69.49; H, 5.95. Found: C, 69.57; H, 5.98.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-3-O-allyl-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (27). A solution of the glycosyl bromide²⁹ 7 (2.50 g, 4.6 mmol), the nucleophile^{19,35} 25 (928 mg, 3.0 mmol) and sym-collidine (490 µL, 3.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added dropwise, at -20 °C, to a stirred suspension of AgOTf (1.41 g, 5.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and the mixture was processed as described for the preparation of compound 26. Chromatography of the residue (solvent D, 96.5:3.5) gave disaccharide 27 (1.76 g, 76%) as a colourless foam, $[\alpha]_{D}$ +90° (c 1.0); RMN: ¹H, δ 8.18-7.08 (m, 20H, Ph), 5.90 (m, 3H, H-2_A, 3_A, CH=CH₂), 5.68 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 10.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-4}_{A}$), 5.31 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-1}_{A}$), 5.30 (dd, 1H, J = 12.3 Hz, CH_2 =CH), 5.09 (bd, 1H, J = 10.4 Hz, CH_2 =CH), 4.98 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, CH_2 Ph), 4.75 (d, 1H, H-1_B), 4.73 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.30 (dq, 1H, $J_{4.5} = 9.7$ Hz, H-5_A), 4.21 (d, 2H, J = 5.3 Hz, CH₂-CH), 4.11 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 3.80 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 2.8$, $J_{3,4} = 9.1$ Hz, H-3_B), 3.71 (dq, 1H, $J_{4.5} = 9.3$ Hz, H-5_B), 3.62 (dd, 1H, H-4_B), 3.39 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.41 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.37 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.3$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 165.9, 165.6, 165.4 (C=O), 138.7-125.4 (CH=CH₂ Ph), 116.8 (CH₂=CH), 99.9 (C-1_B), 99.3 (C-1_A), 80.0 (C-4_B), 79.2 (C-3_B), 75.7 (C-2_B), 75.5 (CH₂Ph), 71.9 (C-4_A), 71.2 (CH2CH), 70.7 (C-3A), 70.0 (C-2A), 68.0 (C-5B), 67.1 (C-5A), 54.6 (CH3), 17.9 (C-6_B), 17.7 (C-6_A); CIMS: m/z 784 ([M+NH₄]+).

Anal. Calcd for C44H46O12: C, 68.92; H, 6.05. Found: C, 68.92; H, 6.07.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -4-Obenzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (28). Compound 27 (1.27 g, 1.62 mmol) 1,5cyclooctadiene-bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)iridium hexafluorophosphate (45 mg, 53 µmol) were dissolved in anhydrous THF (20 mL). The solution was degassed and placed under Ar. The catalyst was activated by passing over a stream of hydrogen until the solution had turned yellow (~3 min). The reaction mixture was degassed and stirred under an Ar atmosphere until no starting material could be detected by TLC (solvent D, 97:3) (~3 h), then concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in acetone (200 mL), then water (20 mL), mercuric oxide (846 mg, 3.94 mmol), and mercuric chloride (845 mg, 3.11 mmol) were added successively. The mixture, protected from light, was stirred at rt for 5 h and acetone was evaporated. The resulting suspension was taken up in CH₂Cl₂, washed twice with 50% aq KI, water and satd aq NaCl, dried and concentrated. Purification of the crude material was effected by silica gel column chromatography (solvent D, 97:3) to furnish monohydroxylated **28** (1.03 g, 85%) as a colourless foam, $[\alpha]_D$ +103* (*c* 1.0); RMN: ¹H, δ 8.11-7.19 (m, 20H, Ph), 5.83 (dd, 2H, J_{2,3} = 3.3 Hz, H-2_A, 3_A), 5.69 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.7 Hz, H-4_A), 5.28 (d, 1H, H-1_A), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.81 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.80 (d, 1H, H-1_B), 4.33 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.7 Hz, H-5_A), 4.03 (m, 2H, H-2_B, 3_B), 3.75 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.4 Hz, H-5_B), 3.67 (t, 1H, J_{4,3} 9.1 Hz, H-4_B), 3.39 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.27 (d, 1H, J_{OH,3} 5.4 Hz, OH-3), 1.45 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_B), 1.37 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 165.8, 165.7, 165.5 (C=O), 138.3-128.0 (Ph), 99.8 (C-1_A), 99.7 (C-1_B), 81.8 (C-4_B), 79.4 (C-2_B), 75.3 (CH₂Ph), 71.7 (C-4_A), 71.4 (C-3_B), 70.6 (C-2_A), 70.1 (C-3_A), 67.6 (C-5_B), 67.3 (C-5_A), 54.9 (OCH₃), 18.2 (C-6_B), 17.7 (C-6_A); CIMS: *m/z* 744 ([M+NH₄]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₄₁H₄₂O₁₂: C, 67.76; H, 5.82. Found: C, 67.68; H, 5.95.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[2,3,4,6tetra-O- benzyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (29). TMSCI (115 μ L, 900 μ mol) was added dropwise at 0°C to a solution of the alcohol 28 (218 mg, 300 µmol) and pyridine (110 µL, 900 µmol) in CH2Cl2 (5 mL). After 15 min, the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at rt. CH₂Cl₂ was added and the solution was successively washed with cold water, 5% aq NaHCO₃, water, and satd aq NaCl, dried, and concentrated to dryness. A mixture of activated powered 4Å molecular sieves (1.0 g) and compound²¹ 3 (290 mg, 534 µmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (12 mL) was stirred at rt for 45 min, then cooled to 0°C. Triflic anhydride (140 µL, 840 µmol) was added, and stirring was continued at 0 °C for 1 h. Next, the crude silylated material (225 mg, 280 µmol), taken up in anhydrous Et₂O (5 mL), was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 4 °C for 40 h. At this time, no starting material could be detected (solvent F, 95:5). Et₃N was added at rt, and the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, filtered and concentrated. The residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂, washed successively with 5% aq HCl, water and, satd aq NaCl, dried and concentrated. The oily residue was eluted from a column of silica gel (solvent F, 99:1) to give the condensation product as a 2:3 mixture of 21 and 29 (285 mg, 81%). A new chromatography of the mixture allowed the isolation of an analytical sample of each anomer. The faster moving product was the α -anomer 21, identical to that described above. The slower moving product corresponding to the β -anomer 29 was isolated as a colourless amorphous solid, $[\alpha]_{D}$ +50° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 8.13-6.99 (m, 40H, Ph), 5.98 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.3 Hz, H- 2_A), 5.94 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$ Hz, H- 3_A), 5.73 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H- 4_A), 5.52 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.18 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.91 (d, 1H, J = 10.5 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.90 $(s, 1H, H-1_B), 4.88$ (d, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.83-4.78 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph), 4.77 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 8.1$ Hz, H-1_E), 4.52-4.43 (m, 4H, CH₂Ph), 4.36 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.7$ Hz, H- 5_A), 4.33 (dd, 1H, H-2_B), 4.20 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 9.0$ Hz, H-3_B), 3.73 (m,

partially overlapped, 1H, H-5_B), 3.70 (m, 2H, H-4_B, 6a_E), 3.64-3.58 (m, 3H, H-2_E, 5_E, 6b_E), 3.47 (m, 2H, H-3_E, 4_E), 3.34 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.41 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.9 Hz, H-6_B), 1.36 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 165.9, 165.5, 165.2 (C=O), 138.8-127.0 (Ph), 104.1 (C-1_B), 100.1 (C-1_E), 99.7 (C-1_A), 84.8, 82.7, 78.3 (C-2_E, 3_E, 4_E), 80.5 (C-4_B), 79.3 (C-2_B), 78.3 (C-3_B), 75.4, 75.2, 75.0 (CH₂Ph), 74.8 (C-5_E), 73.5 (CH₂Ph), 72.0 (C-4_A), 70.7 (C-2_A), 70.1 (C-3_A), 69.0 (C-6_E), 67.7 (C-5_B), 67.0 (C-5_A), 54.6 (CH₃), 18.0 (C- 6_B), 17.7 (C-6_A); CIMS: *m*/*z* 1266 ([M+NH₄]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₇₅H₇₆O₁₇: C, 72.10; H, 6.13. Found: C, 72.11; H, 6.27.

Methyl α -L-Rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $[\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (30). A mixture of 21 and 29 (1.30 g, 1.05 mmol), prepared according to the protocol described above, 10% palladium-on-charcoal (400 mg) in a 4:1 mixture of EtOH and acetic acid (25 mL) was hydrogenolyzed as described for the preparation of 23. Sodium methoxide was added to a solution of the crude material in MeOH (10 mL) at 25 °C. After 18 h, the solution was neutralised with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺), filtered and concentrated. After extraction with CH₂Cl₂, reverse phase column chromatography (solvent *G*, gradient) of the crude product, followed by lyophilization, gave in order of elution, compound 1 (161 mg, 26%) and compound 30 (183 mg, 39%) as colourless amorphous powders. Compound 30 had $[\alpha]_D$ -39° (*c* 1.0, water); lit.¹⁸ $[\alpha]_D$ -41° (*c* 1.8, water); CIMS: *m/z* 504 ([M+NH₄]⁺). The ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra of 30 are identical to those described in ref. 18.

Anal. Calcd for C19H34O14 H2O: C, 45.23; H, 7.19. Found: C, 44.89; H, 7.10.

3,4-Di-O-allyl-1,2-O-methoxyethylidene- α -L-rhamnopyranose (32). A solution of crude orthoester⁴⁴ 31 (17.4 g, 57.2 mmol) in dry MeOH (220 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and saturated with gaseous ammonia. The mixture was stirred at rt for 20 h. When TLC (solvent A, 19:1) showed the complete disappearance of the starting material, volatiles were evaporated, and the residue was dried under vacuum over phosphorous pentoxide. Sodium hydride (60% suspension in oil, 9.2 g, 230 mmol) was added portionwise to a solution of the crude diol in dry DMF (250 mL), while the temperature was maintained below 5 °C. Stirring was continued for 45 min at rt, then allyl bromide (14.8 mL, 172.0 mmol) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture kept under strong stirring, below 10°C. The solution was then left stirring at rt for 18 h. Then MeOH (20 mL) was added. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum. The residue, taken up in CH₂Cl₂, was washed successively with water, 5% aqueous HCl, water and satd aqueous NaCl, dried, and concentrated to dryness. Chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 8.5:1.5) afforded orthoester 32 (16.2 g, 94%) as a colourless oil, $[\alpha]_D$ +22° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 6.01-5.88 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.33 (d, 1H, H-1), 5.35-5.14 (m, 4H, CH=CH₂), 4.49 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 2.5$, $J_{2,3} = 4.1$ Hz, H-2), 4.41-4.11 (m, 4H, $CH_2CH=CH_2$), 3.57 (m,

1H, H-3), 3.29 (m, 5H, CH₃, H-4, 5), 1.70 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.31 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 5.7$ Hz, H-6); ¹³C, δ 134.8, 134.7 (CH=CH₂), 117.8, 117.1 (CH=CH₂), 97.3 (C-1), 79.0 (C-4), 78.9 (C-3), 77.4 (C-2), 74.2, 71.5 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 70.3 (C-5), 49.8 (CH₃), 24.4 (CH₃), 17.9 (C-6); CIMS: *m/z* 318 ([M+NH₄]+).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O₆: C, 59.98; H, 8.05. Found: C, 59.98; H, 7.96.

2-O-Acetyl-3,4-di-O-allyl- α/β -L-rhamnopyranose (33). To a solution of orthoester 32 (1.87 g, 6.2 mmol) in CHCl₃ (30 mL), was added 50% aq CF₃CO₂H (3 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred vigorously at 0 °C for 20 min, at which time TLC (solvent C, 4:1) showed the complete disappearance of 32. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and coevaporated 3 times with toluene. The residue was eluted from a column of silica gel (solvent B, 4:1) to give 33 (1.27 g, 72%) as a colourless oil in a 4/1 α/β ratio, $[\alpha]_D$ -11° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 5.97-5.84 (m, 2H, 2 CH=CH₂ α , 2 $CH=CH_2\beta$, 5.39 (bd, 0.2H, $J_{1,2} = 1.0$ Hz, H-2 β), 5.31-5.14 (m, 3.8H, H-1 β , 2 α , CH=CH₂), 5.10 (dd, 0.8H, $J_{1,2} = 1.7$, $J_{1,OH}$ 3.7 Hz, H-1 α), 4.88 (dd, 0.2H, J 1.1, J 9.0 Hz, CH=CH₂ β), 4.35, 4.16-3.98 (m, 4H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 3.93 (dq, 0.8H, J_{4.5} = 9.5 Hz, H-5 α), 3.81 (dd, 0.8H, J_{2,3} = 3.4, J_{3,4} = 9.4 Hz, H-3 α), 3.46 (dd, 0.2H, J_{2,3} = 3.4, $J_{3,4} = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-}3\beta$, 3.38 (dq, 0.2H, $J_{4,5} = 9.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-}5\beta$), 3.27 (d, 0.8H, OH α), 3.26 (dd, 0.8H, H-4 α), 3.19 (dd, 0.2H, H-4 β), 2.18 (s, 0.6H, C(=O)CH₃ β), 2.13 (s, 2.4H, $C(=O)CH_3\alpha$, 1.35 (d, 0.6H, $J_{5,6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6 β), 1.30 (d, 2.4H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6 α); ¹³C, δ 170.9 (C=O β), 170.5 (C=O α), 134.9, 134.6 (CH=CH₂ α), 134.8, 134.3 (2C, CH=CH₂β), 117.3, 117.0 (2C, CH=CH₂β), 117.0, 116.9 (2C, CH=CH₂α), 92.9 (C-1β), 92.3 (C-1α), 79.9 (C-4α), 79.5 (C-3β), 79.1 (C-4β), 76.7 (C-3α), 74.2 (CH₂CH=CH₂α, CH₂CH=CH₂β), 71.7 (C-5β), 70.6 (CH₂CH=CH₂β), 70.4 (CH₂CH=CH₂α), 69.9 (C-2β), 69.7 (C-2α), 67.7 (C-5α), 21.0 (C(=O)CH₃α), 20.9 $(C(=O)CH_3\beta)$, 17.9 $(C-6\alpha)$, 17.8 $(C-6\alpha)$; ES: m/z 287.2 $([M+H]^+)$, 309.2 $([M+Na]^+)$.

Anal. Calcd for C14H22O6: C, 58.73; H, 7.74. Found: C, 58.74; H, 7.67.

2-O-Acetyl-3,4-di-O-allyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl Trichloroacetimidate (35). The crude reaction mixture obtained by opening of the orthoester 32 (5.68 g, 17.9 mmol) was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). Trichloroacetonitrile (8 mL, 79.7 mmol) and DBU (370 µL, 2.45 mmol) were added, and the solution was stirred for 30 min. The volatiles were evaporated, and the residue was coevaporated twice with toluene. The residue was chromatographed (solvent *B*, 8.7:1.3, containing Et₃N 0.1%) to give the pure α anomer 35 (5.63 g, 73%) as a colourless oil, $[\alpha]_D$ -46° (*c* 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 8.66 (s, 1H, NH), 6.15 (bd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.8 Hz, H-1), 6.00-5.83 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.40 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.4 Hz, H-2), 5.32-5.16 (m, 4H, CH=CH₂), 4.41-4.02 (m, 4H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 3.90 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.6 Hz, H-5), 3.84 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.9 Hz, H-3), 3.36 (dd, 1H, H-4), 2.16 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.35 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6); ¹³C, δ

170.0 (O(C=O)), 160.1 (N(C=O)), 134.8, 134.4 (CH=CH₂), 117.6, 117.3 (CH=CH₂), 95.2 (C-1), 79.2 (C-4), 76.8 (C-3), 74.5, 71.1 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 70.7 (C-5), 67.8 (C-2), 21.0 (C(=O)CH₃), 18.0 (C-6); ES: *m/z* 287.2 ([M+H]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₂Cl₃NO₆: C, 44.62; H, 5.15; N, 3.25. Found: C, 44.61; H, 5.23; N, 3.17.

Methyl (3,4,6-Tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-(2,2,2-trichloroethoxycarbonylamino)- β -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (41). A solution of alcohol³⁴ 40 (680 mg, 1.90 mmol) and donor⁴⁸ 38 (1.54 g, 2.46 mmol) in anhydrous Et2O (15 mL) was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. TMSOTf (24 µL, 124 µmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 h while slowly warming up to rt. As no starting material could be detected (solvent D, 8.5:1.5), Et₃N (1 drop) was added and the solvent was evaporated. Chromatography of the crude mixture (solvent C, 4:1) afforded 41 (1.14 g, 95%) as a colourless foam, $[\alpha]_D$ +7° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.43-7.27 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.55 (bd, 1H, $J_{NH,2} = 6.8$ Hz, NH), 5.02 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$, $J_{4,5} = 9.6$ Hz, H-4_D), 4.97 (dd, 1H, H-3_D), 4.95 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.84 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.82 (bd, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂CCl₃), 4.70 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.2$ Hz, H- 1_A), 4.63 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.61 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.59 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-1}_{\text{D}}$), 4.40 (d, 1H, CH₂CCl₃), 4.22 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6a} = 4.5, J_{6a,6b} = 12.2$ Hz, H-6a_D), 4.11 (dd, 1H, J_{5.6b} = 2.4 Hz, H-6b_D), 3.92 (dd, 1H, H-2_A), 3.84 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.0, J_{3,4} = 9.3 Hz, H-3_A), 3.74 (m, 1H, H-2_D), 3.67 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.5 Hz, H-3_A)$ 5_A), 3.51 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.42 (dd, 1H, H-4_A), 3.33 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.09, 2.02, 1.98 (3 s, 9H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.32 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 170.7, 170.4, 169.4 (3C, OC(=O)), 154.2 (NC(=O)), 138.3-127.8 (Ph), 102.0 (C-1_D), 99.8 (C-1_A), 95.5 (CCl₃), 80.7 (C-4_A), 80.5 (C-3_A), 77.4 (C-2_A), 75.5, 74.4, 73.6 (3C, CH₂Ph, CH₂CCl₃), 73.1 (C-3_D), 72.0 (C-5_D), 68.4 (C-4_D), 67.7 (C-5_A), 62.0 (C-6_D), 56.1 (C-2_D), 54.6 (CH₃), 20.8, 20.7, 20.6 (3C, C(=O)CH₃), 17.8 (C-6_A); CIMS: m/z 837 ([M+NH₄]+).

Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₄₄Cl₃NO₁₄: C, 52.66; H, 5.40; N, 1.71. Found: C, 53.30; H, 5.58; N, 1.66.

Methyl (3,4,6-Tri-O-acetyl-2-trichloroacetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (42). A solution of compound³⁴ 40 (985 mg, 2.75 mmol) and donor⁴⁹ 39 (2.12 g, 3.56 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. TMSOTf (53 µL, 27 µmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 h, while slowly warming up to rt. As no starting material could be detected (solvent D, 9.5:0.5), Et₃N (1 drop) was added and the solvent was evaporated. Chromatography of the crude mixture (solvent D, 94:6) afforded 42 (1.93 g, 97 %) as a colourless solid; mp 170-171 °C (from ethyl acetate-isopropyl ether), $[\alpha]_D$ -5° (c1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.43-7.28 (m, 10H, Ph), 6.78 (d, 1H, J_{NH,2} = 8.4 Hz, NH), 5.11 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-4_D), 5.08 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-3_D), 4.86 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.76 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.68 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.6 Hz, H-1_A), 4.65 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 8.5 Hz, H-1_D), 4.60 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.59 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.25 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6a} = 4.6, J_{6a,6b} = 12.3 Hz, H-6a_D), 4.15 (dd, 1H, J_{5,6b} = 2.5 Hz, H-6b_D), 4.05 (ddd, 1H, H-2_D), 3.91 (dd, 1H, H-2_A), 3.84 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.2, J_{3,4} = 9.3 Hz, H-3_A), 3.65 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.4 Hz, H-5_A), 3.56 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.38 (dd, 1H, H-4_A), 3.35 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.11, 2.05, 2.02 (3 s, 9H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.32 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 170.7, 170.6, 169.2 (OC(=O)), 161.7 (NC(=O)), 138.5-127.5 (Ph), 101.4 (C-1_D), 99.8 (C-1_A), 92.2 (CCl₃), 80.9 (C-4_A), 80.0 (C-3_A), 76.4 (C-2_A), 75.5, 73.7 (2C, CH₂Ph), 72.4 (C-4_D), 72.1 (C-5_D*), 68.2 (C-3_D*), 67.6 (C-5_A), 61.9 (C-6_D), 55.7 (C-2_D), 54.5 (CH₃), 20.7, 20.6, 20.5 (OC(=O)CH₃), 17.9 (C-6_A); ES: *m*/z 790.4 ([M+H]⁺), 812.2 ([M+Na]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₃₅H₄₂Cl₃NO₁₃: C, 53.14; H, 5.35; N, 1.77. Found: C, 53.00; H, 5.32; N, 1.73.

Methyl (2-O-Acetyl-3,4-di-O-allyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)- $[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzy]-\alpha-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]-4-O-benzyl-\alpha-L-rham$ nopyranoside (43). A solution of disaccharide 16 (7.61 g, 9.63 mmol) and donor 35 (5.40 g, 12.5 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (100 mL) was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. TMSOTF (70 μ L, 360 μ mol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 h while slowly warming up to rt. As no starting material could be detected (solvent E, 9:1), Et₃N (500 µL, 360 mmol) was added and the solvent was evaporated. Chromatography of the crude mixture (solvent B, 85:15, then solvent C, 92:8) afforded the fully protected trisaccharide **43** (9.78 g, 96 %) as a sticky oil, $[\alpha]_D$ -2° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.42-7.07 (m, 25H, Ph), 6.02-5.85 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.58 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.49 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.6 Hz, H-2_A), 5.32-5.16 (m, 4H, CH=CH2), 4.97 (d, 1H, H-1E), 4.96-4.77 (m, 5H, CH2Ph), 4.70-4.61 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph, H-1_B), 4.52 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.39 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph, CH2CH=CH2), 4.25 (d, 1H, CH2Ph), 4.22-4.08 (m, 3H, CH2CH=CH2), 4.11 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 4.3$ Hz, H-2_B), 4.07-3.97 (m, 3H, H-3_B, 3_E, 5_E), 3.83 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} =$ 3.4, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_A), 3.76 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.5$, $J_{5,6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-5_A), 3.68 (m, overlapped, 1H, H-5_B), 3.66 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.6$, $J_{4,5} = 9.6$ Hz, H-4_E), 3.55 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$, $J_{3,4} = 9.2$ Hz, H-4_B), 3.54 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.4$, $J_{2,3} = 9.8$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.41 (dd, 1H, $J_{5.6a} = 2.7$, $J_{6a.6b} = 11.0$ Hz, H-6a_E), 3.34 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H; H- $6b_E$), 3.32 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.27 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_A), 1.88 (s, 3H, OC(=O)CH₃), 1.36 (d, 3H, H-6_A), 1.35 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 6.0 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C, δ 169.8 (C=O), 139.0-127.4 (CH=CH₂, Ph), 116.9, 116.8 (CH=CH₂), 99.9 (C-1_B, ${}^{1}J_{C.H} = 168$ Hz), 98.0 (C-1_A, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 172$ Hz), 95.4 (C-1_E, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 167$ Hz), 82.2 (C-3_E), 80.1 (C-4_A), 79.7 (C-4_B*), 79.0 (C-2_E*), 77.8 (C-4_E), 77.3 (C-3_A), 76.5 (C-3_B), 75.7, 75.5, 74.9, 74.3, 73.4, 73.3 (6C, 4 CH₂Ph, 2 CH₂CH=CH₂), 72.0 (C-2_B), 70.8 (CH₂Ph), 70.4 (C-5_E), 69.1 (C-2_A), 68.5 (C-5_B), 68.2 (C-5_A), 68.1 (C-6_E), 54.7 (CH₃), 20.9 (OC(=O)*C*H₃), 18.2, 18.1 (C-6_A, 6_B); CIMS: m/z 1076 ([M+NH₄]+).

Methyl (3,4-Di-O-allyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl- α -D- glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]- 4-*O*- benzyl- α -L- rhamnopyranoside (44). A solution of 43 (1.67 g, 1.58 mmol) in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and MeOH (10 mL) was treated with a catalytic amount of 1 M methanolic sodium methoxide (300 μ L), and the solution was stirred for 48 h at rt. When the starting material was no longer detected (solvent B, 4:1), the solution was neutralised with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺) resin, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed (solvent B, 4:1) to give acceptor 44 (1.57 g, 98%) as a sticky oil, $[\alpha]_{D}$ +20° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.42-7.07 (m, 25H, Ph), 6.02-5.89 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.36-5.16 (m, 4H, CH=CH₂), 5.25 (bs, overlapped, 1H, H-1_B), 5.00 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.4$ Hz, H-1_E), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.90-4.79 (m, 5H, CH₂Ph), 4.65 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.56 (d, 1H, J = 10.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.55 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.43 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.33 (m, 1H, $CH_2CH=CH_2$), 4.28 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.18-4.10 (m, 4H, 3 $CH_2CH=CH_2$, $H-2_B$), 4.08 (dd, 1H, $H-3_E$), 4.04 (m, 2H, $H-2_A$, 3_A), 4.02 (m, 1H, H- $5_{\rm E}$), 3.78 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.5$ Hz, H- $5_{\rm B}$), 3.71 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.5$, $J_{4,5} = 9.2$ Hz, H- $4_{\rm E}$), 3.70 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$, $J_{3,4} = 9.2$ Hz, H-3_B), 3.66 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.5$ Hz, H-5_A), 3.60 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.4$, $J_{3,4} = 9.8$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.50 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6a} = 2.9$, $J_{6a,6b} = 10.8$ Hz, H- $6a_{E}$), 3.46 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.3$ Hz, H-4_A), 3.39 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6b} = 1.6$ Hz, H-6b_E), 3.31 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.30 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.0$, $J_{4,5} = 9.0$ Hz, H-4_B), 2.14 (bs, 1H, OH), 1.35 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_A), 1.31 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C, δ 138.8-127.5 (CH=CH₂, Ph), 116.9 (2 C, CH=CH₂), 101.0 (C-1_A), 100.0 (C-1_B), 94.9 (C-1_E), 82.4 (C-3_E), 79.9 (2C, C-4_A, 4_B), 79.1 (C-3_B), 78.8 (C-2_E), 78.0 (C-4_E), 75.7 (2C, C-2_A, CH₂Ph), 75.6, 75.0 (CH₂Ph), 74.3 (C-3_A), 74.2 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 73.5 (CH₂Ph), 71.0 (CH₂CH=CH₂), 70.3 (C-5_E), 68.9 (C-2_A), 68.1 (C-6_E), 68.0 (C-5_A), 67.9 (C-5_B), 54.7 (CH₃), 18.1 (C-6A), 17.9 (C-6_B); ES: *m/z* 1017.5 ([M+H]⁺), 1039.5 ([M+H]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₇₂O₁₄: C, 70.85; H, 7.13. Found: C, 70.82; H, 7.24.

Methyl $(3,4,6-\text{Tri-}O-\text{acetyl-}2-\text{trichloroacetamido-}2-\text{deoxy-}\beta-D-\text{glucopyranosyl})-(1\rightarrow 2)-(3,4-\text{di-}O-\text{allyl-}\alpha-L-\text{rhamnopyranosyl})-(1\rightarrow 2)-[2,3, 4,6-\text{tetra-}O-\text{benzyl-}\alpha-D-\text{glucopyranosyl-}(1\rightarrow 3)]-4-O-\text{benzyl-}\alpha-L-\text{rhamnopyr-}$ anoside (45). A solution of acceptor 44 (1.75 g, 1.72 mmol), 3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-2deoxy-2-N-trichloroacetyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate⁴⁹ (39, 1.32 g, 2.24 mmol), in dry CH₃CN (15 mL) was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min under dry Ar. TMSOTf (33 μ L, 170 μ mol) was added and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 5 h, then at rt for 15 h. TLC (solvent D, 9:1) showed almost complete conversion of the starting materials into a major product. Et₃N (240 µL, 170 µmol) was added, and the mixture was concentrated. The residue was eluted from a column of silica gel (solvent B, 77:23) to give 45 (2.21 g, 89 %) as a colourless foam, $[\alpha]_{\rm p}$ +4° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.43-7.06 (m, 25H, Ph), 6.69 (d, 1H, J_{NH.2} = 10.1 Hz, NH), 6.00-5.86 (m, 2H, CH=CH₂), 5.35-5.14 (m, 4H, CH=CH₂), 5.27 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.12 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_D), 5.07 (d, 1H, H-1_E), 4.94 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.6$ Hz, H-4_D), 4.88 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 7.6$ Hz, H-1_D), 4.93-4.71 (m, 6H, CH₂Ph), 4.75 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.56 (d, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.54 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.44 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.30 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.26 (m, 1H, CH₂CH=CH₂), 4.21 (m, 1H, H-2_A), 4.20 (dd, 1H, J_{5.6} = 2.0 Hz, H-6a_D), 4.18-3.98 (m, 8H, 3 CH₂CH=CH₂, H-2_B, 3_B, 3_E, 5_E, 6b_D), 3.83 (m, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 10.3$ Hz, H-2_D), 3.80-3.63 (m, 4H, H-3_A, 5_A, 5_B, 4_E), 3.61 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} =$ 3.4, $J_{2,3}$ 9.8 Hz, H-2_E), 3.59-3.43 (m, 4H, H-4_B, 5_D, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.27 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4}$ = 9.3, J_{4.5} = 9.6 Hz, H-4_A), 3.25 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.00 (s, 9H, OC(=O)CH₃), 1.91 (s, 3H, NHC(=O)CH₃), 1.34 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.26 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 170.7-169.2 (3C, OC(=O)CH₃), 161.5 (NC(=O)CH₃), 138.6-127.4 (CH=CH₂, Ph), 117.3, 116.6 (CH=CH₂), 100.3 (C-1_D, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 162$ Hz), 99.6 (C-1_B, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 169$ Hz), 99.5 (C-1_A, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 170$ Hz), 93.7 (C-1_E, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 170$ Hz), 92.2 (CCl₃), 82.3 (C-3_E), 80.8 (C-4_A), 79.9 (C-4_B), 79.3 (C-3_A), 78.6 (C-2_E), 77.8 (C-4_E), 75.8 (CH₂Ph), 75.5 (C-2_A), 75.3, 74.9 (2C, CH₂Ph), 74.6 (C-3_B), 74.2 (All), 73.3 (CH₂Ph), 73.1 (C-2_B), 72.5 (CH₂Ph), 72.1 (All), 72.0 (C-5_D), 71.9 (C-3_D), 70.5 (C-5_E), 68.7 (C-5_A), 68.2 (C-4_D), 68.1 (C-6_E), 68.0 (C-5_B), 61.8 (C-6_D), 56.0 (C-2_D), 54.7 (CH₃), 20.5 (4C, NC(=O)CH₃, OC(=O)CH₃), 18.0 (C-6_B), 17.8 (C-6_A); CIMS: m/z 1465 ([M+NH₄]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₇₄H₈₈Cl₃NO₂₂: C, 61.30; H, 6.12; N, 0.97. Found: C, 61.35; H, 6.06; N, 0.97.

Methyl (3,4,6-Tri-O-acetyl-2-trichloroacetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$]-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (46). Compound 45 (2.37 g, 1.63 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (30 mL). The solution was degassed and placed under Ar. 1,5-Cyclooctadiene-bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)iridium hexafluorophosphate (200 mg, 237 μ mol) was added, and the solution was degassed again. The catalyst was activated by passing over a stream of hydrogen until the solution had turned yellow (~5 min). The reaction mixture was degassed and stirred under an Ar atmosphere for 17 h, then concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in acetone (200 mL), then water (20 mL), mercuric oxide (1.33 g, 6.2 mmol), mercuric chloride (1.42 g, 5.2 mmol) were added successively. The mixture, protected from light, was stirred at rt for 5 h and acetone was evaporated. The resulting suspension was taken up in CH₂Cl₂, washed twice with 50% aq KI, water and satd aq NaCl, dried and concentrated. Purification of the crude material was effected by silica gel column chromatography (solvent C, 75:25) to furnish diol 46 (1.58 g, 71%) as a colourless solid; $[\alpha]_{D}$ +9° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.62-7.06 (m, 25H, Ph), 6.85 (d, 1H, J_{NH,2} = 8.5 Hz, NH), 5.14 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.4$ Hz, H-1_A), 5.12 (bs, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ 3.4 Hz, H-1_E), 4.96-4.93 (m, 2H, H-3_D, 4_D), 4.92-4.81 (m, 6H, CH₂Ph), 4.83 (bs, overlapped, 1H, H-1_B), 4.58 (d, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.56 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.46 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.32 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.22 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 8.3$ Hz, H-1_D), 4.16-4.09 (m, 3H, H-3_B, $6a_D$, 3_E), 4.04 (m, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 10.2$ Hz, H-5_E), 4.00-3.88 (m, 5H, H-2_A, 3_A, 2_B, 2_D, 6b_D), 3.82 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-5_A), 3.72 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} =$ 9.5, $J_{4.5} = 9.6$ Hz, H-4_E), 3.69-3.63 (m, 2H, H-5_B, 2_E), 3.58 (dd, 1H, $J_{5.6a} = 3.3$, $J_{6a.6b}$ = 12.2 Hz, H-6a_E), 3.51 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$, $J_{4,5} = 9.3$ Hz, H-4_A), 3.50 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-6b_E), 3.43 (ddd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_A), 3.36 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.29 (d, 1H, $J_{OH,3} = 6.7$ Hz, OH-3), 2.13 (d, 1H, JOH 4 3= .7 Hz, OH-4), 2.02, 2.01, 1.96 (3 s, 9H, OC(=O)CH₃), 1.35 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 6.1 Hz, H-6_B), 1.26 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 170.9, 170.5, 169.2, 169.3 (OC(=O)), 162.0 (NC(=O)), 138.7-127.5 (Ph), 101.5 (C-1_D), 100.0 (C-1_A), 99.3 (C-1_B), 93.3 (C-1_E), 92.4 (CCl₃), 81.9 (C-3_E), 79.9 (C-4_B), 79.0 (C-2_E), 78.9 (C-2_A), 77.9 (C-4_E), 75.9, 75.4, 74.9 (3C, CH₂Ph), 74.8 (C-2_B), 73.8 (C-3_B), 73.3 (CH₂Ph), 73.2 (C-4_A), 72.2 (CH₂Ph), 72.1 (C-3_D), 72.0 (C-5_D), 71.7 (C-3_A), 70.2 (C-5_E), 68.6 (C-5_A), 68.2 (C-6_E), 67.9 (2C, C-5_B, 4_D), 61.9 (C-6_D), 55.8 (C-2_D), 54.9 (CH₃), 20.6 (3C, OC(=O)CH₃), 18.2 (C-6_B), 17.4 (C-6_A); ES: m/z 1368.5 ([M+H]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₆₈H₈₀Cl₃NO₂₂: C, 59.63; H, 5.89; N, 0.96. Found: C, 59.53; H, 5.97; N, 0.92.

Methyl (3,4,6-Tri-*O*-acetyl-2-trichloroacetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-*O*-acetyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[2,3,4,6tetra-*O*-benzyl- α -D- glucopyranosyl- (1 \rightarrow 3)]-4-*O*- benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (47). (a) To a solution of compound 46 (529 mg, 387 µmol) in pyridine (5 mL) was added acetic anhydride (800 µL, 850 µmol). After stirring for 48 h, the reaction was quenched with MeOH (3 mL). The solvents were evaporated, the residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂, and the resulting solution was washed with water, 5% aq NaHCO₃, water, satd aq. NaCl, dried and concentrated. The residue was eluted from a column of silica gel (solvent *B*, 68:32) to yield the fully protected 47 (550 mg, 98%); [α]_D +3* (*c* 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.41-7.10 (m, 25H, Ph), 6.65 (d, 1H, J_{NH,2} = 7.9 Hz, NH), 5.39 (bd, overlapped, 1H, H-3_A), 5.37 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, J_{3,4} = 10.1 Hz, H-3_D), 5.30 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.14 (bs, 1H, H-1_E), 4.99 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.9 Hz, H-4_A), 4.95-4.79 (m, 6H, CH₂Ph), 4.89 (dd, overlapped, 1H, H-4_D), 4.78 (s, 1H, H-1_B), 4.72 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 7.9 Hz, H-1_D), 4.59 (d, 1H, CH₂Ph), 4.56 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.45 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.32 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.15-4.09 (m, 6H, H-2_A, 2_B, 3_B, 6a_D, 6b_D, 3_E), 4.03 (m, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.8 Hz, H-5_E), 3.97 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.7 Hz, H-5_A), 3.70 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.7 Hz, H-4_E), 3.67-3.58 (m, 4H, H-4_B, 5_B, 2_E, 6a_E), 3.47 (bd, 1H, H-6b_E), 3.45 (ddd, 1H, H-2_D), 3.35 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.10, 2.02, 2.00, 1.95 (4 s, 15H, OC(=O)CH₃), 1.35 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.9 Hz, H-6_B), 1.18 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 170.3, 170.2, 170.1, 169.8, 169.3 (5C, OC(=O)CH₃), 161.6 (NC(=O)CCl₃), 138.8-127.4 (Ph), 99.6 (bs, C-1_A), 99.5 (C-1_B), 99.1 (C-1_D), 93.9 (C-1_E), 92.2 (CCl₃), 81.9 (C-3_E), 79.7 (bs, C-4_B), 79.3 (C-2_E), 77.7 (C-4_E), 75.3 (CH₂Ph), 75.2 (C-2_A), 74.9 (CH₂Ph), 74.4 (bs, C-3_B), 74.0 (C-2_B), 73.2, 72.5 (3C, CH₂Ph), 71.9 (C-5_D), 71.6 (C-4_A), 70.7 (C-3_A), 70.4 (C-3_D), 70.2 (C-5_E), 68.5 (C-4_D), 68.3 (C-6_E), 68.2 (C-5_B), 66.9 (C-5_A), 61.8 (C-6_D), 56.6 (C-2_D), 54.8 (CH₃), 21.1, 20.8, 20.7, 20.6, 20.5 (5C, OC(=O)CH₃), 18.1 (C-6_B), 17.5 (C-6_A); CIMS: *m/z* 1469 ([M+NH₄]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₇₂H₈₄Cl₃NO₂₄: C, 59.48; H, 5.82; N, 0.96. Found: C, 59.44; H, 5.75; N, 0.97.

(b) Compound 45 (1.57 g, 1.08 mmol) in anhydrous THF was treated as described for the preparation of the diol 46. The crude material, used without further purification, was acetylated as described in (a) to give, after work-up and chromatography (solvent B, 68:32), pure 47 (1.02 g, 65 %).

Methyl (2-Acetamido-3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-β-D-glucopyranosyl) $-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-0-acety)-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-0-1)-(1,3,4)-($ benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]-4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (48) A mixture of 47 (623 mg, 430 μ mol) and tributyltin hydride (800 μ L, 2.97 mmol) in dry toluene (30 mL) and dry N,N-dimethylacetamide (10 mL) was stirred for 20 min under a flow of dry Ar. α, α' -Azobisisobutyronitrile (6 mg, 36 µmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min, then heated at 90 °C for 1 h, cooled, and concentrated. The oily residue was triturated with petroleum ether (3 times 5 mL) to give a colourless solid which was eluted from a column of silica gel (solvent C, $75:25 \rightarrow 70:30$) to afford 48 (509 mg, 88 %) as a colourless foam; $[\alpha]_D$ +2° (c 1.0); NMR: ¹H, δ 7.45-7.08 (m, 25H, Ph), 5.50 (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2} = 7.9$ Hz, NH), 5.41 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.6$ Hz, H-3_D), 5.37 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} =$ 2.7, $J_{3,4} = 10.1$ Hz, H-3_A), 5.24 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.10 (bd, 1H, H-1_E), 5.03 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 10.0, J_{4,5} = 10.0 Hz, H-4_A), 4.90 (d, 1H, J 11.1 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.89 (dd, 1H, J_{4,5})$ = 9.4, $J_{3,4}$ = 9.8 Hz, H-4_D), 4.79 (bd, 3H, CH₂Ph), 4.77 (m, overlapped, 2H, H-1_B, 1_{D}), 4.71 (d, 1H, J = 12.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.59 (d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.55 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.43 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.31 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.15-3.98 (m, 8H, H-2_A, 5_A, 2_B, 3_B, 6a_D, 6b_D, 3_E, 5_E), 3.71-3.46 (m, 7H, H-4_B, 5_B, 2_D, 2_E, 4_E, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.36 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.10, 2.05, 2.01, 1.98 (4 s, 15H, OC(=O)CH₃), 1.67 (s, 3H, NHC(=O)CH₃), 1.35 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.9 Hz, H-6_B), 1.19 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 170.4-169.4 (6C, C=O), 138.6-126.7 (Ph), 100.0 (C-1_D*), 99.8 (C-1_A), 99.4 (C-1_B*), 93.9 (C-1_E), 81.9 (C-3_E), 79.7 (C-4_B), 78.7 (C-2_E), 77.7 (C-4_E), 78.6 (C-2_E), 75.5 (C-2_A), 75.3, 74.8 (2C, CH₂Ph), 74.5 (C-2_B), 74.3 (C-3_B), 73.2, 72.2 (2C, CH₂Ph), 71.7 (2C, C-4_A, 5_D), 71.3 (C-3_A), 70.2 (C-5_E), 68.6 (C-4_D), 68.2 (C-6_E), 68.1 (C-5_B), 66.8 (C-5_A), 61.7 (C-6_D), 55.6 (C-2_D), 54.9 (CH₃), 23.1 (NC(=O)CH₃), 20.9, 20.7, 20.6, 20.5, 20.4 (5C, OC(=O)CH₃), 18.1 (C-6_B), 17.3 (C-6_A); CIMS: *m/z* 1367 ([M+NH₄]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₇₂H₈₇NO₂₄: C, 64.04; H, 6.49; N, 1.04. Found: C, 64.03; H, 6.51; N, 0.93.

Methyl (2-Acetamido-3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-B-D-glucopyranosyl) $-(1\rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-acety)-\alpha-L-$ rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 2)-[\alpha-D-glucopyranos$ yl-(1 \rightarrow 3)]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (49). A suspension of 10% Pd-C catalyst (800 mg) in a 3:12 mixture of acetic acid:ethanol (15 mL) containing the fully protected 48 (587 mg, 435 µmol) was stirred at rt for 48 h under a hydrogen atmosphere. The suspension was filtered on a bed of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. To eliminate any residual traces of the catalyst, the residue was chromatographed on a short column of silica gel (solvent A, 9:1) to give 53 (360 mg, 92%) as an amorphous solid. Compound 49 had $[\alpha]_{D}$ +31° (c 1.0, methanol); NMR: ¹H, δ 6.11 (d, 1H, J_{NH,2} = 8.4 Hz, NH), 5.42 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.9$, $J_{3,4} = 10.0$ Hz, H-3_D), 5.12 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.0$, $J_{3,4} = 9.6$ Hz, H-3_A), 5.10-5.03 (m, 3H, H-1_A, 4_D , 1_E), 5.01 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.8$ Hz, H-4_A), 4.96 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 8.3 Hz, H-1_D), 4.69 (s, 1H, H-1_B), 4.34 (bd, 1H, $J_{6a,6b}$ = 11.0 Hz, H-6a_D), 4.24 (bs, 1H, H-2_A), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6b} = 2.9$ Hz, H-6b_D), 3.99 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 3.96-3.74 (m, 8H, H-5_A, 3_B, 2_D, 5_D, 3_E, 5_E, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.65-3.54 (m, 4H, H-4_B, 5_B, 2_E, 4_E), 3.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.10, 2.09, 2.05, 2.04, 2.03, 1.99 (6 s, 18H, OC(=O)CH₃), NC(=O)CH₃), 1.35 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 5.6$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.19 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 171.5-169.6 (6C, C=O), 101.1 (bs, C-1_A), 100.5 (bs, C-1_D), 99.9 (C-1_B), 96.3 (bs, C-1_E), 77.2 (bs, C-3_E), 76.7 (bs, C-2_B), 76.2 (C-2_A), 74.1 (C-3_E), 72.5 (C-2_E), 72.2 (C-5_E), 72.1 (C-3_D), 71.7 (C-5_D), 71.4 (C-4_E), 71.2 (C-3_A), 71.1 (bs, C-4_A), 70.5 (C-4_B), 68.8 (C-4_D), 68.1 (C-5_B), 66.9 (C-5_A), 62.1 (C-6_E), 61.8 (C-6_D), 55.1 (C-2_D), 54.9 (CH₃), 23.3 (NC(=O)CH₃), 21.1, 21.0, 20.9, 20.8, 20.7 (OC(=O)CH₃), 17.9 (C-6_B), 17.5 (C- 6_A); NMR (DMSO- d_6): ¹H, δ 7.89 (d, 1H, $J_{NH,2}$ = 9.1 Hz, NH), 5.14 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3}$ = 9.5 Hz, H-3_D), 5.04 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 10.1$ Hz, H-3_A), 5.03 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.91 (d, 1H, $J_{OH,4B}$ 6.1 Hz, OH-4_B), 4.86 (dd, 2H, $J_{4,5} = 9.6$ Hz, H-4_D, 1_E), 4.79 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 10.1$ Hz, H-4_A), 4.67 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 8.5$ Hz, H-1_D), 4.56 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.48 (dd, 1H, J = 5.3 Hz, OH), 4.35 (bt, 1H, $J_{OH,6}$ = 5.8 Hz, OH-6_E), 4.20 (bs, 2H, H-6a_D, 6b_D), 4.04 (bs, 1H, H-2_A), 3.95 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 3.93 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 3.82-3.66 (m, 4H, H-5_A, 3_B, 2_D, 4_E), 3.59-3.49 (m, 3H, H-3_E, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.43 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.2 Hz, H-5_B), 3.30 (m, 1H, H-4_B), 3.27 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.18 (m, 2H, H-2_E, 5_E), 2.03, 2.02, 2.00, 1.97, 1.93, 1.78 (6 s, 18H, OC(=O)CH₃, NC(=O)CH₃), 1.20 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.0 Hz, H-6_B), 1.06 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 170.1-169.9, 169.6, 169.3, 169.2, 169.1 (6C, C=O), 101.9 (C-1_D), 101.0 (C-1_A), 99.4 (C-1_B), 94.3 (C-1_E), 78.0 (C-2_A), 76.1 (C-2_B), 73.2 (C-3_B), 73.1 (C-3_E), 72.3 (C-3_D), 72.0 (C-4_E), 71.8 (C-2_E), 70.5 (C-4_A), 70.4 (C-5_D), 70.2 (C-4_B), 69.9 (C-5_E), 69.0 (C-3_A), 68.3 (C-4_D), 68.1 (C-5_B), 65.9 (C-5_A), 61.2 (C-6_D), 60.4 (C-6_E), 54.3 (OCH₃), 53.1 (C-2_D), 22.5 (NC(=O)CH₃), 20.6, 20.5, 20.4, 20.3 (5C, OC(=O)CH₃), 18.0 (C-6_B), 17.0 (C-6_A); CIMS: *m*/*z* 917 ([M+NH₄]+).

Anal. Calcd for C₃₇H₅₇NO₂₄: C, 49.39; H, 6.38; N, 1.56. Found: C, 49.24; H, 6.47; N, 1.46.

Methyl 2-Acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $[\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (2). A solution of 49 (250 mg, 278 µmol) in MeOH (5 mL) was treated with 1 M methanolic sodium methoxide (200 μ L), and the solution was stirred at rt overnight. After neutralisation with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺), filtration, and evaporation of the solvent, purification of the crude product was achieved by reverse phase chromatography. The column was eluted with solvent G (gradient, $100:0 \rightarrow 96:4$) to give, after lyophilization, the target tetrasaccharide 2 (171 mg, 89%) as a colourless powder; $[\alpha]_D$ +35° (c 1.0, water), $lit.^{20} [\alpha]_{D} + 20.2^{\circ}$ (c 0.3, methanol), $lit.^{21} [\alpha]_{D} + 33^{\circ}$ (c 0.7, water), $lit.^{21} [\alpha]_{D}$ +31° (c 1.0, methanol); NMR (D₂O): ¹H, δ 5.11 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.3 Hz, H-1_A), 5.05 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.8$ Hz, H-1_E), 4.82 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.71 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 8.3$ Hz, H-1_D), 4.14 (bs, 2H, H-2_A, 2_B), 3.93 (d, partially overlapped, 1H, H-6a_D), 3.91-3.86 (m, 3H, H-3_A, 3B, 5E), 3.80 (d, partially overlapped, 2H, H-6aE, 6bE), 3.78 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-4_E), 3.75-3.67 (m, 4H, H-5_A, 5_B, 2_D , 6b_D), 3.62-3.53 (m, 3H, H-4_B, 3_D , 2_E), 3.48-3.41 (m, 2H, H-5_D, 3_E), 3.40 (m, 4H, CH₃, H-4_D), 3.34 (d, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.7$, $J_{4,5} = 9.7$ 9.7 Hz, H-4_A), 2.05 (s, 3H, NC(=0)CH₃), 1.33 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.19 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_A); ¹³C, δ 175.5 (C=O), 103.3 (C-1_D, J_{C,H} = 164 Hz), 101.8 (C-1_A, J_{C,H} = 173 Hz), 100.3 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 172 Hz), 95.1 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 172 Hz), 79.3 (C-2_A), 76.7 (C-5_D), 75.5 (C-2_B), 74.3 (C-3_D), 74.1 (C-3_B), 73.8 (C-3_E), 73.1 (C-4_A), 72.4 (C-5_E), 71.8 (C-2_E), 71.1 (C-4_B), 70.7 (C-4_D), 70.6 (C-3_A), 70.2 (C-4_E), 70.0 (C-5_B), 69.3 (C-5_A), 61.7 (C-6_D), 61.1 (C-6_E), 56.6 (C-2_D), 55.8 (CH₃), 23.5 (NC(=O)CH₃), 17.8 (C-6_B), 17.4 (C-6_A); CIMS: m/z 707 ([M+NH₄]⁺).

Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₄₇NO₁₉·H₂O: C, 45.82; H, 6.97; N, 1.97. Found: C, 45.95; H, 7.17; N, 1.86.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Dr. A. Namane (Chimie Structurale des Macromolécules, Institut Pasteur) for recording the Electrospray mass spectra.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Part 1 of the series Synthesis of ligands related to the O-specific polysaccharides of Shigella flexneri serotype 2a and Shigella flexneri serotype 5a.
- 2. P. Sansonetti, Rev. Infect. Dis., 13, S285 (1991).
- H. L. DuPont, R. B. Hornick, M. J. Snyder, J. P. Libonati, S. B. Formal, and E. J. Gangarosa, J. Infect. Dis., 125, 12 (1972).
- 4. S. B. Formal, E. V. Oaks, R. E. Olsen, M. Wingfield-Eggleston, P. J. Snoy, and J. P. Cogan, J. Infect. Dis., 164, 533 (1991).
- 5. J. B. Robbins, C. Chu, and R. Schneerson, Clin. Infect. Diseases, 15, 346 (1992).
- 6. A. Phalipon, M. Kauffmann, P. Michetti, J. -M. Cavaillon, M. Huerre, P. Sansonetti, and J. -P. Krahenbuhl, J. Exp. Med., 182, 769 (1995).
- C. C. A. M. Peeters, P. R. Lagerman, O. der Weers, L. A. Oomen, P. Hoogerhout, M. Beurret, and J. T. Poolman, in *Vaccine Protocols*, A. Robinson, G. Farrar, and C. Wiblin, Eds., Human Press Inc., p. 111 (1996).
- 8. P. L. Chong, N. Chan, A. Kandil, B. Tripet, O. James, Y. P. Yang, S. P. Shi, and M. Klein, *Infect. Immun.*, 65, 4918 (1997).
- 9. D. N. Taylor, A. C. Trofa, J. Sadoff, C. Chu, D. Bryla, J. Shiloach, D. Cohen, S. Ashkenazi, Y. Lerman, W. Egan, R. Schneerson, and J. B. Robbins, *Infect. Immun.*, 61, 3678 (1993).
- 10. A. Phalipon, A. Folgori, J. Arondel, G. Sgamarella, P. Fortugno, R. Cortese, P. J. Sansonetti, and F. Felici, *Eur. J. Immunol.*, 27, 2620 (1997).
- 11. D. R. Bundle, A. J. Gidney, S. Josephson, and H. P. Wessel, Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Ser., 49 (1983).
- 12. B. M. Pinto, K. B. Reimer, D. G. Morissette, and D. R. Bundle, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 293 (1990).
- 13. N. K. Kochetkov, N. E. Byramova, Y. E. Tsvetkov, and L. V. Backinovsky, *Tetrahedron*, 41, 3363 (1985).
- L. V. Bakinovskii, A. R. Gomtsyan, N. E. Bairamova, N. K. Kochetkov, and N. F. Yankina, *Bioorg. Khim.*, 11, 1562 (1985).
- 15. A. A. Lindberg, A. Karnell, and A. Weintraub, Rev. Infect. Diseases, 13, S279 (1991).
- 16. D. A. R. Simmons, Bacteriol. Reviews, 35, 117 (1971).
- 17. L. V. Bakinovskii, A. R. Gomtsyan, N. E. Bairamova, and N. K. Kochetkov, *Bioorg. Khim.*, 10, 79 (1984).
- 18. N. E. Nifant'ev, A. Shashkov, G. M. Lipkind, and N. K. Kochetkov, *Carbohydr.* Res., 237, 95 (1992).
- 19. H. P. Wessel and D. R. Bundle, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 2251 (1985).
- L. V. Bakinovskii, A. R. Gomtsyan, N. E. Bairamova, N. K. Kochetkov, and N. F. Yankina, *Bioorg. Khim.*, 11, 1562 (1985).
- 21. G. H. Posner and S. R. Haines, Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 5 (1985).
- 22. W. Rosenbrook, D. A. Riley, and P. A. Lartey, Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 3 (1985).
- 23. H. P. Wessel, Tetrahedron Lett., 31, 6863 (1990).
- 24. K. Ishikawa and H. G. Fletcher, J. Org. Chem., 34, 563 (1969).
- 25. R. R. Schmidt, J. Michel, and M. Roos, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1343 (1984).

- 26. R. R. Schmidt and J. Michel, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 821 (1984).
- I. Kitagawa, N. I. Baek, K. Ohashi, M. Sakagami, M. Yoshikawa, M., and H. Shibuya, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 37, 1131 (1989).
- 28. T. Ziegler, F. Bien, and C. Jurisch, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 9, 765 (1998).
- 29. R. K. Ness, H. G. Fletcher, and C. S. Hudson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 296 (1951).
- 30. A. H. Haines, Carbohydr. Res., 10, 466 (1969).
- 31. N. E. Nifant'ev, G. M. Lipkind, A. S. Shashkov, and N. K. Kochetkov, Carbohydr. Res., 223, 109 (1992).
- M. E. Haque, T. Kikuchi, K. Yoshimoto, and Y. Tsuda, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 33, 2243 (1986).
- 33. S. David, A. Thieffry, and A. Veyrières, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 2371 (1984).
- 34. V. Pozsgay, J. -R. Brisson, and H. J. Jennings, Can. J. Chem., 65, 2764 (1987).
- 35. V. Pozsgay, Carbohydr. Res., 69, 284 (1979).
- 36. M. Kreuzer and J. Thiem, Carbohydr. Res., 149, 347 (1986).
- R. Lemieux, K. Hendrix, R. Stick, and K. James, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 4056 (1975).
- 38. B. Wegmann and R. R. Schmidt, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 6, 357 (1987).
- 39. A. K. Ray and N. Roy, Carbohydr. Res., 196, 95 (1990).
- 40. P. J. Garegg, J. -L. Mois, and S. Oscarson, Synthesis, 409 (1995).
- 41. P. J. Garegg and T. Norberg, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B, 33, 116 (1979).
- 42. J. J. Oltvoort, C. A. A. van Boeckel, J. H. der Koning, and J. van Boom, Synthesis, 305 (1981).
- 43. S. Josephson and D. R. Bundle, Can. J. Chem., 57, 3073 (1979).
- 44. D. R. Bundle and S. Josephson, Can. J. Chem., 57, 662 (1979).
- 45. R. U. Lemieux, M. T. Takeda, and B. Y. Chung, Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Ser., 39, 90 (1976).
- J. S. Debenham, R. Madsen, C. Roberts, and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 117, 3302 (1995).
- 47. J. C. Castro-Palomino and R. R. Schmidt, Tetrahedron Lett., 36, 5343 (1995).
- 48. W. Dullenkopf, J. C. Castro-Palomino, L. Manzoni, and R. R. Schmidt, Carbohydr. Res., 296, 135 (1996).
- 49. G. Blatter, J. -M. Beau, and J. -C. Jacquinet, Carbohydr. Res., 260, 189 (1994).
- 50. J. S. Andrews and B. M. Pinto, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 1785 (1990).
- 51. R. R. Schmidt, M. Behrendt, and A. Toepfer, Synlett, 694 (1990).
- 52. D. G. Davis and A. Bax, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107, 7197 (1995).
- 53. K. Bock and C. Pedersen, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 293 (1974).
- 54. K. Bock and C. Pedersen, Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. B, 29, 258 (1975).
- 55. V. Pozsgay, B. Coxon, and H. Yeh, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 1, 237 (1993).